

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_110593
Title of the Manuscript:	HYPHEMA POST INTRAVITREAL INJECTION OF BEVACIZUMAB
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript describes a rare complication that is likely to occur during intravitreal injection. This can create awareness among the scientific community and can help them expect the least of the complications</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable</p> <p>The abstract can be more comprehensive</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct</p> <p>The references are inadequate. A few suggestions are given in the reviewer's comments document</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language can be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> There are a few typos in the manuscript which needs to be rechecked. The case presentation has to be a single paragraph that brings out the complete history and the investigations on the current study. Discussion should include images that show the haemorrhage at the time of injection/evolution of hyphema and the resolved hyphema after 10 days. The authors are encouraged to comply with the journal's reference format Overall language of the paper can be improved 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Susan Immanuel
Department, University & Country	Texas A& M University, United States of America