

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_108722
Title of the Manuscript:	THE SUSTAINABILITY OF CARBON MARKETS FOR CLIMATE-SMART AGRICULTURE AMONG SMALLHOLDER FARMERS IN UGANDA
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. There is nothing in this manuscript. It is a repetition of mentioning of political-correct buzzwords. Things as 'challenges', 'sustainability', 'resilience', 'climate smart', 'mitigation', etc. And every paragraph is a new permutation of these buzzwords.</p> <p>2. Yes, is OK, covers the paper, but also suffers from #1 above</p> <p>3. Abstract is too long, is just one more permutation of the buzzwords</p> <p>4. Since it is one spaghetti of more-of-the-same, there is no need for any structure</p> <p>5. Not applicable.</p> <p>6. Insufficient. Most are only one reference (FAO). But this is not necessarily critical.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is all garble.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Peter Stallina</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of The Algarve, Portugal</b>