

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_110840
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Socio-economic Determinants of Low and Medium Individual Dietary Diversity and Nutritional Status among Young Adults in Noakhali, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>It is, considering the importance of young adults in the society and the economy of a nation.</b></p> <p>The topic is not concise and catchy enough. Suggested topic is <b>“Influence of Socio-Economic Determinants on Dietary Diversity and Nutritional Status among Young Adults in Noakhali, Bangladesh”</b>.</p> <p>The abstract could be better written in a clearer format of Aims, Study Design, Place and Duration of Study, Methodology, Results and Conclusion. The Keywords should be written in alphabetical order, while the study area's name should be removed.</p> <p>These are less appropriate. Additional subsections and structure as been pointed out in the manuscript.</p> <p><b>It needs a lot of modification for it to be proven so.</b></p> <p>It is sufficient</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It needs to revised a bit</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>There is a need to follow the journal guidelines and the recommended font type and size as well. Appropriate sub-sections should be followed, re-work the English a bit. The discussion should be concise and to the point to make the report more understandable.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bello, Monsurat</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Adeyemi Federal University of Education, Nigeria</b>