

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_110936
Title of the Manuscript:	THE INFLUENCE OF SOFT SKILLS ON THE QUALITY OF LEARNING THROUGH TEACHER CREATIVITY
Type of the Article	Research paper

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Could be [see the comments given above]. 2. Readable. 3. Not sufficient [see the comments given above]. 4. Not quite. 5. Perhaps not. 6. Need to reference the text and keep the consistency between the references in the text and those on the list. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The paper requires fine editing for accuracy, precision and clarity. 	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>See the overall comments given above.</p> <p>Overall comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The content of the paper could be appealing to teachers and educational practitioners if the authors can clearly present the rationale of the study, research methodology, and the obtained findings as responsive to the identified research objectives. • The abstract requires clarity of research methodology. • The identified research objectives were “to collaborate and analyze soft skills as competencies in order to improve the quality of learning in The Bajeng Elementary School of Gowa Regency through teacher creativity.” However, the results did not respond to the research objectives. • The contents by subsections are quite confusing about the selected respondents, the constructed instrument used in the study, and the data collection procedure. The authors did not explain the instrument and its specifications on soft skills or teacher creativity. As a result, readers cannot understand what and how the authors probed into the issues of soft skills [concrete items??] or teacher creativity [aspects of teaching creativity?] • Referencing the text is a must so that readers will not be confused about the previous researchers' viewpoints/ findings and those of the author. • Those references on the final list must appear in the text. • For readability, the paper requires fine editing for accuracy, precision and clarity. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruja Pholsward
Department, University & Country	Rattakosin International College of Creative Entrepreneurship, Rajamangala University of Technology, Thailand