

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_110305
Title of the Manuscript:	FINANCIAL STRATEGY AND CORPORATE PERFORMANCE GROWTH OF SELECTED FOOD AND BEVERAGES MANUFACTURING COMPANIES IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes the manuscript is important for scientific (research) community</p> <p>2. Yes, Title is suitable</p> <p>3. No, The article become too much to claim as one research paper and from the journal publication point of view it is crossed the boundary. Usually a journal paper can host up to a max of 20 pages. Here 35 pages are submitted.</p> <p>4. Yes, all subsection and structure of the manuscript is appropriate, except the Hypothesis subsection, which is expected to appear before testing the hypothesis but is appearing immediately after the Research objectives and before the literature review.</p> <p>5. Yes but scholarly appearance (from the PhD scholars and above.....it is important to bring the underlying theories to become part of the discussion</p> <p>6. Nearly ok.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T. G. Vasista
Department, University & Country	Srinivas University, Ashoka Women's Engineering College, India