

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_110302
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF EXHAUST EMISSIONS FROM HAULAGE TRUCKS AT ONNE PORT IN RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1-From the outset, the fundamental treatment of this problem remains a topical issue of international scope. This article makes a significant scientific contribution.</p> <p>2- The title of the article is almost appropriate and should be amended in a pollutant monitoring and experimental methods.</p> <p>3- The broad outlines of a summary are significant, but the purpose of the study must be clearly presented in the second line of the summary. In addition, the comparative aspect on international standards should be presented on the basis of several organizations linked to the said control.</p> <p>4- Generally speaking, the presentation of the sections and sub-sections seems acceptable, but some amendments need to be made specifically to section 1 / 1.1- presentation of previous work and the state of the art, in accordance with the journal's ethics. In section 2, a clearly presented sub-section should be made on 2.2. Experimental protocol with flow chart. And in the third section 3.4. a sub-section on the characteristics of the trucks studied, classified in a table, and 3.5. a sub-section on the geographical distribution of pollutant concentrations around the port.</p> <p>5- Concerning the scientific veracity of the manuscript, it would be correct to make this experimental evaluation a comparison with an analytical method linked to the characteristics of the gears prospected.</p> <p>6- Earlier and more recent work is widely available in journals. Regarding this scientific production very few references have been used and the justification of the results is not really focused on other articles that corroborate with your results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of language and English is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This work can be further developed by focusing on the link: characteristics of heavy machinery - pollutants - analytical method.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seutche Ndize Jean Calvin
Department, University & Country	University of Yaoundé 1, Cameroon