

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_111377
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the effectiveness of various decomposer in sugarcane trash composting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript is very important for the scientific community. The abstract is well written as is the introduction. The authors also respect the general presentation of articles recommended for their writing. Despite this good writing, I recommend that the authors exploit Table 1 a little more by associating curves with it. These curves can be drawn using ORIGIN PRO or Advance GRAPHER software. So they will be able to comment a little more on these results. The bibliographic references should be increased (reach 11 or 12). These references are recent and without discussion. For example, you can add "Composting of sugarcane waste by-products through treatment with microorganisms and subsequent vermicomposting", DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2010.03.111, which you can find on ResearchGate. <p>I propose that after these small corrections, the article can be published because it is quite innovative in this area.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>This English language of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kalifa Palm
Department, University & Country	Institut de Recherche en Sciences Appliquées et Technologies, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique, Burkina Faso