

Uncovering the Driving Forces Behind Succession Planning in Namibian Commercial Public Enterprises: A Look at Executive Leadership

Abstract

A robust succession plan for executive leadership is vital for organizational growth. This study examines challenges faced by Namibian human resource managers in maintaining effective executive leadership within Commercial Public Enterprises (CPEs). Employing a constructivist approach, it explores managers' perspectives on succession planning as a crucial tool for learning and development among Namibia's CPE managerial cadre. Using a convergent mixed-methods design, the study combines qualitative and quantitative data collection and analysis. Simple random sampling determines the quantitative sample, while purposive sampling selects the qualitative sample, involving 210 participants from 22 CPEs, resulting in a sample of 63 participants. Findings highlight the importance of education, mentoring, and training in shaping executive leadership succession planning in CPEs. Charismatic Chief Executive Leadership and a transactional leadership style emerge as pivotal for sustained organizational success. The study recommends strategic focus on educational programs, mentorship, and training to enhance succession planning in Namibia's CPEs, contributing to broader discussions on organizational leadership and succession planning, particularly in African nations.

JEL Classification: M10, M12,

Key words: Succession planning; Public enterprises; Leadership charisma; Transactional leadership

Introduction

Executive leadership succession planning is a crucial aspect of managing a successful commercial public enterprise (Malloy, 2016). It refers to the process of identifying, developing, and preparing individuals to assume key leadership positions within an organization, with the aim of ensuring a smooth and seamless transition of power and responsibility (Kotter, 2015). Succession planning is an important factor in ensuring that an organization remains competitive, resilient, and sustainable over the long-term, regardless of unexpected departures, retirements, or other leadership transitions (Cadwell, 2018). The purpose of executive leadership succession planning is to ensure that the organization has the leadership talent it needs to achieve its strategic goals and objectives, both now and in the future (Kotter, 2015). This involves developing a pipeline of internal talent, identifying leadership strengths and weaknesses,

and preparing individuals for future leadership roles (Malloy, 2016). The goal is to ensure that the organization has a continuous supply of strong leaders to drive its success, both today and in the future (Cadwell, 2018).

Comprehensive executive leadership succession planning process includes several key components, including:

- a) **Assessment of Current Leadership Needs:** The first step in the succession planning process is to assess the current and future leadership needs of the organization (Kotter, 2015). This includes identifying the critical positions that need to be filled and the skills and experience required for those positions (Malloy, 2016).
- b) **Identification of Internal Talent:** The next step is to identify the internal talent within the organization that can fill these critical positions (Cadwell, 2018). This includes identifying high-potential individuals who have the skills and experience required for future leadership roles, as well as those who need additional development to be ready for these roles (Malloy, 2016).
- c) **Development and Training:** Once potential successors have been identified, the next step is to provide them with the development and training they need to prepare for future leadership roles (Kotter, 2015). This may include formal training programs, mentoring, coaching, and other development activities (Malloy, 2016).
- d) **Performance Management:** Performance management is an important part of the executive leadership succession planning process (Cadwell, 2018). This includes setting performance expectations, providing regular feedback, and evaluating the performance of potential successors to ensure they are on track to meet the organization's leadership needs (Kotter, 2015).
- e) **Succession Planning Strategy:** The final step in the executive leadership succession planning process is to develop a comprehensive succession planning strategy that outlines the steps that will be taken to ensure a smooth and seamless transition of power and responsibility (Malloy, 2016). This may include establishing a formal mentoring program, creating a leadership development program, and regularly reviewing and updating the succession planning strategy (Cadwell, 2018).

Several factors influence the success of executive leadership succession planning in commercial public enterprises, including the organization's culture, the level of support from senior leaders, and the resources available for developing and training potential successors (Kotter, 2015). Organizational culture plays a critical role in the success of executive leadership succession planning (Malloy, 2016).

A culture that values and invests in the development of its employees is more likely to have a successful succession planning program (Cadwell, 2018). When employees feel that their careers are valued and their contributions are recognized, they are more likely to be engaged and motivated to take on new challenges and responsibilities (Kotter, 2015). Senior leaders also play a crucial role in the success of executive leadership succession planning (Malloy, 2016). When senior leaders are committed to the success of the program and provide their support and endorsement, it sends a strong message.

Public commercial enterprises in Namibia, as compared to other countries:

Namibian State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs) hold a pivotal role in providing essential services, regulating economic sectors, and contributing to government revenue (Weylandt, 2016; Limbo, 2019). However, despite their vital functions, these entities face criticism for inefficient service delivery and a reliance on government transfers, leading to reputational damage and management challenges (Weylandt, 2016; Limbo, 2019). The regulatory framework is governed by legislation such as the Public Enterprises Governance Act 2 of 2006 (as amended).

In South Africa, the Department of Public Enterprises oversees key sectors and SOEs, aspiring to drive economic transformation, industrialization, and import substitution (Nellis, 2015). Despite significant contributions to sectors like electricity, transportation, and telecommunications, South African SOEs grapple with challenges, including competition issues and a potential deterrent to foreign investment (South Africa - State Owned Enterprises, 2017; 2018).

Zooming out to the broader African context, the performance of parastatals raises concerns. In Kenya, Ghana, Burundi, Uganda, and beyond, despite substantial investments, many parastatals yield minimal or no returns (Nellis, 2015). Reforms to enhance parastatal performance have been ongoing for over two decades, involving initiatives such as sound accounting systems, clarified commercial objectives, and managerial autonomy. However, these efforts have produced modest results, with weak financial performance and persistent challenges in providing efficient services (Nellis, 2015).

Addressing the issues faced by public commercial enterprises in Namibia and across Africa demands a multifaceted approach. Implementing enduring reforms, ensuring transparency, promoting competition, and enhancing the accountability of management are crucial steps. Fostering a culture of efficiency and innovation is essential for achieving sustained success amidst complex economic and operational challenges. Drawing lessons from both successes and shortcomings in various African nations is

imperative for devising tailored solutions that support the growth and sustainability of public commercial enterprises on the continent.

Research objectives

The research objectives of the study are twofold:

- To explore the factors that influence executive leadership succession planning in CPEs in Namibia,
- To describe the most significant factors that influence executive leadership succession planning in CPEs,

Literature review

Importance of succession planning in commercial public enterprises

Succession planning is a critical aspect of managing a successful commercial public enterprise. It refers to the process of identifying, developing, and preparing individuals to assume key leadership positions within an organization, with the aim of ensuring a smooth and seamless transition of power and responsibility. The importance of succession planning in commercial public enterprises cannot be overstated, as it has a significant impact on the long-term stability and success of the organization. One of the key reasons why succession planning is important in commercial public enterprises is to ensure continuity of operations. When key leaders within an organization depart, whether it is due to retirement, resignation, or unexpected circumstances, the loss of their knowledge, expertise, and leadership skills can have a major impact on the organization's ability to continue to operate effectively (Cadwell, 2018). By having a pipeline of internal talent prepared to step into these leadership positions, an organization can avoid disruptions and maintain its momentum, allowing it to continue to achieve its strategic goals and objectives (Kotter, 2015).

Another important aspect of succession planning is that it helps to ensure that the organization has the leadership talent it needs to be competitive, resilient, and sustainable over the long-term (Robinson & Pearce, 2012). By developing a pipeline of internal talent, identifying leadership strengths and weaknesses, and providing the development and training required to prepare individuals for future leadership roles, an organization can ensure that it has a continuous supply of strong leaders to drive its success, both today and in the future (Kotter, 2015).

Succession planning is also important in commercial public enterprises because it helps to foster a positive organizational culture (Cadwell, 2018). A culture that values and invests in the development of

its employees is more likely to have a successful succession planning program (Malloy, 2016). When employees feel that their careers are valued and their contributions are recognized, they are more likely to be engaged and motivated to take on new challenges and responsibilities (Robinson & Pearce, 2012). This, in turn, helps to ensure that the organization has a strong, motivated, and committed workforce that is ready and able to meet the leadership needs of the organization, both now and in the future.

Succession planning is important in commercial public enterprises because it helps to ensure that the organization has the resources it needs to achieve its strategic goals and objectives (Kotter, 2015). By providing a clear roadmap for the development and training of potential successors, an organization can allocate its resources effectively and efficiently, ensuring that it has the talent and expertise it needs to achieve its goals (Robinson & Pearce, 2012).

Executive leadership succession planning

Executive leadership succession planning has been the subject of much research in recent years, as organizations recognize the importance of having a plan in place to ensure the smooth transition of leadership in the event of retirement, resignation, or other unexpected departures. A literature review of existing research on executive leadership succession planning highlights several key themes and trends in the field.

One theme that emerges in the literature is the need for a comprehensive and proactive approach to succession planning. Many studies have emphasized the importance of early and ongoing preparation, with a focus on developing a pipeline of internal talent and creating a culture that supports and encourages the development of future leaders (Cadwell, 2018; Malloy, 2016). Some researchers have also highlighted the need for organizations to identify and address any potential barriers to succession planning, such as a lack of understanding of the benefits of succession planning, or a lack of commitment from key stakeholders (Robinson & Pearce, 2012).

Executive leadership succession planning

Another key theme in the literature is the importance of involving stakeholders in the succession planning process. Many studies have emphasized the need for organizations to engage employees, board members, and other key stakeholders in the process, to ensure that the succession plan reflects the needs and perspectives of all relevant parties (Cadwell, 2018; Malloy, 2016).

A third theme in the literature is the importance of aligning succession planning with the organization's overall strategic goals and objectives. Many studies have emphasized the need for organizations to

ensure that their succession planning efforts are aligned with their long-term strategic vision, and that the development of future leaders is integrated into the organization's overall talent management strategy (Cadwell, 2018; Kotter, 2015). Some researchers have also highlighted the need for organizations to regularly review and revise their succession plans to ensure that they remain relevant and effective over time (Robinson & Pearce, 2012).

In summary, the existing research on executive leadership succession planning highlights the importance of a comprehensive and proactive approach to succession planning, the need for involving key stakeholders in the process, and the importance of aligning succession planning efforts with the organization's overall strategic goals and objectives. These themes provide important insights for organizations looking to develop and implement effective succession planning programs and demonstrate the need for continued research and attention in this area.

Executive leadership succession planning in Africa

The 2018 Ibrahim Forum Report highlights a looming succession crisis in Africa's public sector, where aging workforce demographics threaten institutional memory (Ibrahim Forum Report, 2018). This crisis is compounded by a shortage of young leaders equipped with essential skills, hindering effective decision-making (PwC, Year). Furthermore, technological underutilization and reliance on external expertise exacerbate the challenge (Ibrahim Forum Report, 2018).

Effective succession planning is crucial for state-owned enterprises (SOEs) in Southern African countries (Smith, 2022). It involves identifying and developing internal talent to ensure organizational continuity and innovation. However, Africa faces significant hurdles, including skill shortages and reliance on external consultants, hindering long-term organizational sustainability (Ibrahim Forum Report, 2018).

Addressing these challenges requires comprehensive strategies to develop local talent, leverage technology, and reduce reliance on external expertise. By prioritizing executive leadership succession planning, African countries can navigate the impending succession crisis and build sustainable, locally-driven institutions for the future.

Methodology

The research adopted a constructivist philosophy. This philosophy was appropriate because the research gaged the views and comprehension of managers regarding executive leadership succession planning as a learning and development tool in the succession planning of preparation of younger managers in commercial public enterprises in Namibia. Furthermore, the research applied a convergent mixed method design. Qualitative and quantitative data was collected in a single phase and the analysis was done separately after which the results were compared (Creswell, 2018).

Sampling Procedure, Research Instrument, Administration, and Data Collection

Securing endorsement from the Ministry of Public Enterprises, the researcher accessed participants using a sample of 63 chosen via simple random and purposive sampling from a total population of 210 across 22 commercial public enterprises. Research instruments included an online questionnaire and interview guide, covering demographics, succession planning understanding, policy existence, successor selection criteria, and challenges, with Likert scales measuring agreement and effectiveness in responses (Taylor, 2004).

The researcher facilitated the administration of instruments, conducting online surveys via Google Forms and face-to-face or telephone interviews, adhering to COVID-19 protocols. Data, encompassing qualitative and quantitative primary data, were collected through semi-structured questionnaires and interviews with board directors and CEOs (Shorten & Smith, 2017). Instruments were designed for ease of administration and alignment with the mixed-method approach.

Combining data types yielded both contextualized insights and generalizable findings. Triangulating interpretations ensured robust analysis, following Thomson's model involving descriptive, analytical, and theorized stages (Thomson, 2011). This approach allowed for identifying patterns in the data and exploring underlying reasons, contributing to new knowledge creation. Triangulation mitigated the risk of self-referential analysis, enhancing the study's credibility.

Overall, the study adhered to rigorous sampling procedures, employed appropriate research instruments, and meticulously conducted data collection, ensuring the reliability and validity of the findings. Through a thoughtful and systematic approach to data analysis, the study provided comprehensive insights into executive leadership succession planning within Namibia's commercial public enterprises.

Validity, Reliability, and overall robustness and trustworthiness of the research findings.

In ensuring validity, qualitative methods like interviews captured participant perspectives deeply, while quantitative surveys were pilot-tested for clarity (Creswell & Creswell, 2017). Reliability was enhanced through consistent data collection procedures and reliability checks during coding (Miles et al., 2014). Credibility was established via triangulation, prolonged engagement, and member checking (Creswell & Creswell, 2017). Dependability was ensured through transparent documentation, enabling replication, and an audit trail (Creswell & Creswell, 2017; Miles et al., 2014). Confirmability was maintained by acknowledging researcher biases and employing an external audit (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Overall, the study rigorously adhered to principles of validity, reliability, credibility, dependability, and confirmability, bolstering the research's robustness and trustworthiness. In conclusion, the study observed rigorous measures to uphold the principles of validity, reliability, credibility, dependability, and confirmability, thereby enhancing the overall robustness and trustworthiness of the research findings.

Findings and discussions

Factors that influence executive leadership succession planning and recruitment in CPEs

The main elements to consider while selecting a successor in an organisation were ranked as shown in Table 1. below.

Table 1. Factors influencing succession planning and recruitment.

Sample	N	Scale	n	Rel. frequency (%)
Age of successor	47	1	4	8.5
		2	1	2.1
		3	15	31.9
		4	19	40.4
		5	8	17.0
Gender of successor	47	1	18	38.3
		2	2	4.3
		3	10	21.3
		4	16	34.0
		5	1	2.1
Education of successor	47	1	1	2.1
		3	3	6.4
		4	22	46.8
		5	21	44.7
Experience in Pes	47	1	1	2.1

Sample	N	Scale	n	Rel. frequency (%)
		3	5	10.6
		4	13	27.7
		5	28	59.6
Outside management experience	47	2	3	6.5
		3	8	17.4
		4	26	56.5
		5	9	19.6
Past performance	47	3	2	4.3
		4	24	51.1
		5	21	44.7
Knowledge and skills in marketing, finance, and strategic planning	47	3	5	10.6
		4	19	40.4
		5	23	48.9
Interpersonal skills	47	3	2	4.3
		4	29	61.7
		5	16	34.0
Technical knowledge and skills	47	2	2	4.3
		3	2	4.3
		4	15	31.9
		5	28	59.6
Decision-making abilities and experience	47	3	5	10.6
		4	20	42.6
		5	22	46.8
Compatibility of goals with current CEO/MD	47	1	1	2.1
		2	5	10.6
		3	16	34.0
		4	20	42.6
		5	5	10.6
Commitment to business	47	2	1	2.1
		3	2	4.3
		4	21	44.7
		5	23	48.9
Psychological traits	47	3	9	19.1
		4	32	68.1
		5	6	12.8
A personal relationship with CEO/MD	47	1	15	31.9
		2	16	34.0
		3	10	21.3
		4	5	10.6
		5	1	2.1
Respect from employees	47	1	1	2.1
		2	2	4.3
		3	25	53.2

Sample	N	Scale	n	Rel. frequency (%)
		4	17	36.2
		5	2	4.3
Trust by employees	47	1	1	2.1
		3	13	27.7
		4	26	55.3
		5	7	14.9

Scale: 1 – less important to 5 – very important.

Age of successor

In Table 1, 40.4% of the respondents agreed that the age of the successor is an important factor in identifying the potential successor of an organisation. Only 17% said age is critically important whilst 31.9% were not sure. Age is critical because at the age of 55 most workers will be near retirement and tacit knowledge must be passed from one generation of workers to another and by doing so, it reduces learning curves for critical positions. Succession planning allows this sharing to occur simultaneously between the worker and the potential successor, allowing the successor to gain knowledge and skills without undergoing extensive on-the-job training.

Gender of successor

The results show that 38.3% of the respondents said that gender is not important when choosing a successor. Table 1 shows that 21.3% were not sure about the importance of gender whilst 34% indicated that gender is important and 2.1% responded that it is critically important. The major conclusion is that, while gender is not considered a hindrance to becoming a successor, male successors outnumber female successors (Aldamiz-Echevarría et al., 2017). Ellemers et al. (2012) asserts that more studies have shown that discrepancies in promotion rates and underrepresentation of women in leadership positions cannot be attributed to their lack of ambition. Women, on the other hand, are less positive about their chances of attaining a leadership position than men, and they anticipate more challenges once in such roles, leading them to doubt their leadership abilities (Keller, 2018).

Gender bias has been linked to internalised gendered attitudes (or stereotypes) about the qualities that make a good leader (Heilman, 2001). Offermann & Foley (2020) state that it is common for men and women to believe that a good leader should have agentic or masculine characteristics. Also, when it comes to succession of leadership, those at the top, mostly men, prefer to promote those with similar traits and attributes or with whom they have a good interpersonal relationship.

According to Rink et al. (2019), stereotypical behaviour and interpersonal likeness reinforce each other. In this approach, the desire to have successors who reflect traditional (male) leadership ideals increases organisational similarity. Therefore, those who do not fit into a masculine culture have limited career options (Offermann & Foley, 2020). However, it is uncertain if women, once in positions of leadership, make succession selections based on interpersonal liking as a result of shared social experiences or important characteristics (ibid).

Education

The survey results show that 44.8% of the respondents' supposed education is important when selecting a successor and 44.7% agreed that education is critically important. Success and growth in the long run are directly related to education (Yartey & Adjasi, 2007). Although companies invest a lot in hiring and on-boarding skilled and competent employees, many executives neglect the need to nurture and develop them (Kotter, 2012). Kruger & Steyn (2020) state that many firms are struggling to keep up with rapid innovation in the workplace due to the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) and the astounding digital transformation. These factors, along with expanding skill gaps, make education and training essential for the growth of businesses and the workers who drive it. Upskilling and education can make the difference between thriving and barely surviving in the workplace.

Experience in CPEs

Results showed that 59.6% of respondents said that experience in commercial public enterprises is critically important whilst 27.7% said that it is important. Clegg (2012) argues that, usually, experience takes precedence over education. Studies show that it is best to choose someone with real-world experience as a successor. These individuals are solution-oriented a greater part of the time; they are capable of resolving problems without the need for heavy supervision.

The right experience enables spontaneous crisis management, budget reduction and overall workplace culture management (Andrews et al., 2008). What distinguishes one organisation from another is the knowledge and insight gained through experience. There are few shortcuts to acquiring a competitive advantage over competitors and experience is one way to be on top. In his or her field, the successor should have business experience. A successful successor is aware of changing trends and demands

(Herstatt & Von Hippel, 1992). This understanding leads to the creation of effective products that appeal to the target market.

Outside management experience

Table.2 indicates that 56.5% of the respondents indicated that it is important to consider outside management experience in selecting a successor and 19.6% responded that it is critically important. According to Stoddart (2020), choosing a successor is never simple even with a superb succession plan or filling the position with an internal or external candidate. With maximum productivity as the goal, a filled position will always have some downtime, as the new hire can never fully prepare for the job's specific requirements (Rynes *et al.*, 1991). The background of the successor determines the time at which they get to optimal productivity. In comparison to a student or someone who was previously unemployed, the time to optimal production is substantially decreased if the employee is transferring firms in the same sector.

Internal successors, on the other hand, are already established personnel who have been part of the company for years and are thoroughly familiar with the company's goals, beliefs, employees and best working practices (Drucker, 2017). Whether recruiting a successor internally or externally is not so important since performance is dependent on the function and the proper environment for that role to be filled (Stoddart, 2020). The purpose of a succession plan is to be ready for theoretical circumstances that could affect the business. Studies show that employees who are cared for and developed are more likely to stay loyal and engaged. Therefore, it is critical to incorporate robust training protocols in the succession plan to get the most promising employees ready to take on a more advanced role as this will also make them feel like valuable members of the firm (Phillips & Roper, 2009). Studies show that internal employees are more likely to succeed than external candidates. Before bringing in an external hire, it is critical to assess the benefits and drawbacks. According to Gomez-Mejia *et al.* (2018), external hires are frequently paid more and have poorer performance ratings in their first two years on the job.

Stoddart (2020) listed the critical conditions to consider when hiring either an external or internal successor. Some of the factors to consider when hiring an external candidate include the availability of a great candidate already in mind who can fill in quickly, the delicacy of the internal structure stability such that transferring employees may cause problems and the required skills/experience for the position are not available/reachable internally. When hiring an internal candidate, the conditions include having

promising workers in the organisation that could fit the position. There is a long lead time/notice period during which to promote from the inside. The business must be prospering, internal shifts are viable and there must be a surplus of employees. Internal personnel have a wealth of talents and expertise for the role. If there is a lack of onboarding training in the organisation, then there should be an external hire (Stoddart, 2020).

Past performance

The results show that 51.1% of the respondents agreed that past performance is important in the selection process of the successor and 44.7% indicated it is critically important. On the contrary, studies show that past performance does not guarantee future results. Nonetheless, in the world of recruitment, candidates' prior experiences are likely the most important factor in determining their fitness for a position (Dixon, 2017; Krapivin, 2019). Pre-hire experience appears to be a hopeless measure of a person's likelihood of succeeding in their new position because the circumstances in their past job and the new one are highly likely not to be comparable (Krapivin, 2019).

Some companies focus on a candidate's ability to learn and grow rather than their past work history to find someone who fits their culture and has strong technical skills (Dixon, 2017). However, to signify that an applicant is ready for the workplace, several occupations require some form of experience. This experience usually refers to the number of years spent on the job, but it can also refer to the seniority of those roles, the kind of firms they worked for and the schools they attended (Krapivin, 2019). A smart starting step would be to lessen reliance on past performance as a criterion for evaluation and instead use other methods. Participation in succession planning by employees, and achieving succession planning goals, should all be considered as part of performance management. It is relatively simple to incorporate important goals into development plans (Hart, 2011).

Strategic planning, marketing, and finance knowledge

The survey indicates that 48.9% of the respondents indicated that marketing, finance and strategic planning expertise are critically important while 40.4% indicated that they are important in choosing a successor. A manager should be able to plan strategically for the future as well as perform the current tasks well. Reviewing systems and procedures, attending training, and managing the team's daily activities are all part of the process.

In order to increase productivity and profitability, strategic thinkers foster innovation and change within the team and the company as a whole (Smith, 2021). Either the candidate must be a visionary or must be able to collaborate with someone in the firm who is. It is crucial to retain continuity in succession, and preserving essential relationships is crucial in this regard. In the event that the successor stresses or breaks business connections, it could jeopardise the company's stability, causing staff to leave or putting the organisation at risk of bankruptcy (Jaffe *et al.*, 1998). Managers and business owners are frequently required to understand and manage the company's financial needs (Kirsten, 2018). The ability to understand the current market, understand investments and risks, plan effectively and on time, and identify anything that adversely affects the bottom line are all financial management skills. Financial management skills are required of business analysts, accountants, and bank personnel.

The successor should be aware of the client's needs as well as their perceptions of the organisation. It would be ideal to develop relationships with customers that are mutually beneficial by talking to them about their future needs and discussing how to develop the products or services to satisfy their demands (Thakur & Thakur, 2003). Knowledge of the market is also important, that is knowing the competitors' performance, their ways of charging and if the market has any new entrants. The successor must also be well-versed in the business environment as the business can be disrupted by many external factors. Politics, economics, environment, society and technology can all impact the company's growth, so one needs to be informed. The corporate sector could be monitored by forming a group of employees to monitor and report on changes (Thakur & Thakur, 2003).

Interpersonal skills

In Table 1, 61.7% of the respondents agreed that interpersonal skills are important in selecting a successor, 34% said they are critically important whilst 4.3% said they are not sure. The ability to connect, work or relate with others is an interpersonal skill. In order to maximize a company's human resources, managers need these abilities (Matthews, 2001). A manager who inspires and motivates his or her team is a valuable asset for their organisations. This form of contact not only boosts productivity and contentment among employees but also sets a good example.

Some studies rank personality as the most considered factor before other factors such as financial analysis capability, and the ability to think strategically and manage other executives, among others

(Finkelstein et al., 2009). While certain fundamental competencies are universal, such as the ability to communicate effectively, it is also important to consider those that are unique to the industry or situation (Matthews, 2001). The successor must be able to communicate both orally and in writing. Rushed memos full of typographical errors and confusing meetings are detrimental to the success of the successor. An excellent manager is always able to communicate clearly and communicate useful, easy-to-understand information that assists with the task at hand. These managers' meetings are well focussed and aptly timed.

Technical knowledge and skills

The results show that 59.6% of the respondents agreed that technical knowledge and skills are critically important in the selection of the successor whilst 31.9% said they are important, 4.3% were not sure and 4.3% thought that technical skills are not important. The skills and experience of their employees and their understanding of customers' needs make all businesses a valuable source of knowledge. Information can have a significant impact on an organization's ability to grow. This is not limited to large multinational corporations, but from a local newsstand to a manufacturing firm, knowledge management can help everyone (Smith, 2021).

Low-level managers' technical skills are more important than those at the top of the chain (Hernandez-Marrero, 2006). To attain their aims, managers need technical skills which include knowledge and abilities. These qualities include the ability to enhance sales, develop diverse products and services, and advertise them. The successor must show an understanding of the whole business, for example, to oversee a team of IT professionals, one must know how to navigate the company's programming systems (Hernandez-Marrero, 2006). The manager should be in a position to guide subordinates, help them to achieve higher levels of success and impart practical advice and use trade secrets.

Decision-making abilities and experience

Results show that 46.8% of the participants indicated that decision-making abilities and experience are critically important for the successor to have and 42.6% held the view that it is important. Problem detection and problem solving are part of an executive's daily duties. In order to achieve this, one must be meticulous as well as able to remain calm under stress. Maintaining productivity and smooth workflow requires quick thinking when problems arise. Creativity is a key to producing unique solutions that impact the company as a whole and the team as little as possible. It helps to think on your feet when

deciding how to accomplish a task. Analysing benefits and drawbacks of a scenario rapidly is essential (Smith, 2021).

Compatibility of goals with current CEO/MD

Looking at the compatibility of goals with the current CEO/MD factor in choosing a successor in CPEs, 34% were not sure about its importance, 42.6% viewed it as important and 10.6% indicated that it was critically important. It is critical to find a successor who excels at collaborative management or collaborative leadership (Linden, 2003). It is critical to embrace skills that vary from that of the current CEO/MD but help them achieve their strategic goals. For instance, when considering a successor, they may consider hiring someone who specialises in communications and outreach if they want to raise their company's brand awareness and if they do not know the difference between public relations and marketing.

Commitment to business

The results in Table.2 show that 48.9% of the respondents indicated that the successor should be highly committed to the business while 44.7% agreed that commitment to the business is important and a paltry 4.3% were not sure. According to Ibrahim et al. (2001), a common blunder is selecting a replacement without committing to a target. The successor must uphold the same values upon which the company was founded. The candidate should show that he or she embodies those values rather than simply agreeing with them (Matthews, 2001) to avoid the chances of changing the culture through the development of a new culture. High-level commitment and support will benefit succession planning. In addition to allocating time for people to learn, succession planning also involves removing barriers and establishing a learning culture (Lynn, 2001).

Psychological traits

Studies show that the link between personality and behaviour is symbolised by observable behaviours which shows that the individual's cognitive state and personality play significant roles in job success (Kurz & Bartram, 2002). In this study, 69.6% of the respondents viewed psychological traits as important when selecting a successor, 13% indicated critically important and 17.4% were not sure of the importance. Sackett & Walmsley (2014) based their research on the Big Five personality model, which is a well-known paradigm for assessing personality. Conscientiousness, agreeability, emotional stability, extraversion, and openness to experience are the Big Five personality traits. The researchers

analysed a lot of data from job interviews to determine what companies looked for. Employers use systematic job interviews to examine candidates' personality qualities for the job and workplace fit. When hiring a salesman, a company may check for extraversion and friendliness in prospects to guarantee that they can work well with customers.

A personal relationship with CEO/MD

The survey shows that 31% of the participants indicated that the personal relationship with the CEO/MD of the successor is critically not important, 34% ranked it as not important, 21.3% were not sure and 10.6% viewed it as important. Most participants highlighted the need to shun corruption or nepotism by not selecting successors who have personal relationships with the current managers. However, the extent of personal relationships varies as some may be linked merely by the job which may be an advantage as the successor will be aware of the company's strategic plan and culture and may in turn influence his performance together with that of the organisation.

Respect from employees

Table 1 shows that 53.2% of the respondents were not sure how respect from employees correlates with choosing a successor in CPEs while 36.2% viewed respect from employees as important and only 4.3% indicated that it is critically important. Studies show that it is important to select someone who is not only qualified for the position but also has respect from important team members and the ability to lead the firm forward (Hunt et al., 2015). Smith *et al.* (2001) argue that relationships are the essence of management and are crucial for success. Before a manager can lead a team, he or she must acquire the respect of the members of that team. Effective communication is crucial to achieving this. The goal is to win team members' respect while maintaining professional boundaries by getting to know them personally and professionally. Despite being a manager, the successor must also be able to contribute as a team player (Smith *et al.*, 2001).

Trust by employees

The results show that trust by employees was ranked critically important by 14.9% of the respondents, 55.3% ranked it important and 27.7% were not sure. The successor should inspire trust and confidence among the company's owners. According to Matthews (2001), there is a need for a different type of successor for every scenario. For example, for public enterprises, the successor must have that

experience and if the organisation is owned by a family, the successor must be someone with whom they are comfortable. For organisations with investors, it is most likely that the stakeholders will want to have input in the selection of the successor (Matthews, 2001). Good managers hold themselves to the highest standards so that their subordinates can see what they should aspire to (Argyris, 2002). Strong managers must have the qualities of integrity, honesty and professionalism. When it comes to working ethics and temperament, it is best to show than tell as a leader. Hiring managers seek candidates who follow a tight moral code and who provide a good example for others.

Theoretical findings

Leadership Succession Planning (LSP) is vital for assessing and cultivating organizational leadership talent (Lewis & Heckman, 2006). Transformative, well-trained leadership positively impacts organizational performance (Avey et al., 2011). Transformational leadership, characterized by idealized influence and intellectual stimulation, fosters organizational success (Shaw, 2012; Mclaggan et al., 2013; Hart, 2011).

Identifying potential successors within the organization is crucial for effective succession planning (Terry, 2018). Talent management, encompassing talent attraction, development, and retention, is key for identifying and nurturing future leaders (Armstrong, 2009; Sastry, 2013; Pila et al., 2016). Training and development programs, including coaching and on-the-job training, are essential for grooming future leaders (Baqutayan, 2014; Chan, 2018; Rothwell, 2010; Tahir et al., 2014).

Performance management, guided by goal theory and social cognitive theory, plays a pivotal role in succession planning (Yadav & Dabhade, 2013; Armstrong, 2019). However, its effectiveness in public organizations may be limited by managers' utilization of performance data (Hvidman & Andersen, 2014).

Financial resources are crucial for implementing successful succession plans, influencing the organization's ability to invest in training and development (Terry, 2018; Lowan & Chisoro, 2016). While age, education, and past performance are important factors in succession planning, gender has less impact (Yartey & Adjasi, 2007).

In conclusion, effective leadership succession planning requires a multifaceted approach, encompassing transformative leadership development, talent management, training programs, performance

management, and financial investment. By addressing these factors, public enterprises can ensure a smooth transition of leadership, driving long-term organizational success.

Conclusion

Effective executive leadership succession planning within Commercial Public Enterprises (CPEs) is notably influenced by the meticulous identification of adept candidates within the organization. This study contends that fostering talent management entails creating an environment that facilitates skill development for diverse future opportunities through a transparent and equitable process. The pivotal role of education in executive leadership succession planning is emphasized, highlighting its significance for the sustained success and growth of corporations in the long term. Leadership Succession Planning (LSP) emerges as a crucial tool for both assessing and cultivating an organization's leadership talent.

The educational background of potential successors assumes substantial importance in the realm of executive leadership succession planning. Additionally, performance management emerges as a key factor shaping the trajectory of executive leadership succession planning in public enterprises. The intertwining influences of education, mentoring, and training and development further underscore their paramount role in steering executive leadership succession planning within CPEs.

The efficacy of transformational leadership in fostering leadership development is underscored, with evident links to outcomes that align with the objectives of most organizations. Notably, talent management, training, and development are identified as integral components contributing significantly to the success of a robust succession plan. Within the ambit of talent management, sub-factors such as talent attraction, development, and retention are highlighted as critical elements in crafting a successful succession strategy. It is imperative to recognize that effective succession planning necessitates the acquisition of new knowledge and skills, primarily derived from internal talent sources. Consequently, succession planning emerges not merely as a beneficial practice but as an indispensable factor for the sustained success of Namibia's CPEs and other organizations alike.

Future research avenues

The author proposes a multi-case study approach for both large and small organizations in exploring future research paths. This method could be extended to include candidates for middle and executive management within a focus group, offering a comprehensive understanding of succession planning

dynamics. Researchers may find value in conducting a quantitative correlational study, building upon earlier case study results and providing a broader perspective for analysis. Subsequent projections and insights could then be drawn from evidence gathered across multiple case studies.

In addressing organizational entrenchment, this study delved into effective succession planning implementation. However, potential alternative sources of organizational entrenchment remain unexplored. Future research could investigate the impact of organizationally induced entrenchment resulting from gender bias, racial and ethnic discrimination, shedding light on how these factors influence succession planning. Additionally, examining government policies as a potential source of organizational entrenchment could contribute valuable insights.

Acknowledging the scarcity of research in leadership succession mentoring, this study highlights a need to bolster mentoring practices. Future investigations should delve into the impact of mentoring on leadership succession at various organizational levels. Understanding the motivations driving managers' active participation in leadership succession planning, a critical aspect identified

Disclaimer

This paper is an extended version of a repository document of the same author.

The repository document is available in this link: https://repository.unam.edu.na/bitstream/handle/11070/3712/muadinohamba_leadership_2023.pdf?isAllowed=y&sequence=1 [As per journal policy, preprint /repository article can be published as a journal article, provided it is not published in any other journal]

References

- Aldamiz-Echevarría, C., Idígoras, I., & Vicente-Molina, M.-A. (2017). Gender issues related to choosing the successor in the family business. *European Journal of Family Business*, 7(1–2), 54–64.
- Andrews, J., Cameron, H., & Harris, M. (2008). All change? Managers' experience of organizational change in theory and practice. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*.
- Argyris, C. (2002). Teaching smart people how to learn. *Reflections-Society for Organizational Learning*, 4(2), 4–15.
- Armstrong, M. (2009). *Armstrong's handbook of management and leadership a guide to managing for results*. Kogan.

Armstrong, R. (2019). Revisiting strategy mapping for performance management: a realist synthesis. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*.

Avey, J. B., Avolio, B. J., & Luthans, F. (2011). Experimentally analyzing the impact of leader positivity on follower positivity and performance. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 22(2), 282–294.

Baqutayan, S. M. S. (2014). Is talent management important? An overview of talent management and the way to optimize employee performance. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(23), 2290.

Botswana Institute for Development Policy Analysis (BIDPA). (2013). *Industry Regulation in Botswana: Case studies in industry governance, implementation, and public accountability*. Retrieved from [<https://ideas.repec.org/b/bid/books1/102.html>]

Brown, J. D. (2002). The Cronbach alpha reliability estimate. *Shiken: JALT Testing & Evaluation SIG Newsletter*.

Chan, R. (2018). *Succession Planning and Management Guide for Home Office Leaders*.

Clegg, K. (2012). Improving learning through reflection—part one. *The Higher Education Academy*.

Companies "State Owned Companies", Department of Public Enterprises, Republic of South Africa. Retrieved 2017-01-28.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Cronbach, L. J. (2016). About Alpha of Cronbach. *Psychometric Society*, 112(4), 214–219.

Department of Public Enterprises (DPE), South Africa. (2021). [National Public Enterprises Bill for Public Comment Report]. Retrieved from [<https://oag.gov.za/ministry-of-public-enterprises>]

Dixon, L. (2017). What's More Important When Hiring: Future Potential or Past Performance? <https://www.chieflearningofficer.com/2017/06/05/whats-important-hiring-future-potential-past-performance/>

Drucker, P. (2017). *Concept of the Corporation*. Routledge.

Ellemers, N., Rink, F., Derks, B., & Ryan, M. K. (2012). Women in high places: When and why promoting women into top positions can harm them individually or as a group (and how to prevent this). *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 32, 163–187.

Finkelstein, S., Hambrick, D. C., & Cannella, A. A. (2009). *Strategic leadership: Theory and research on executives, top management teams, and boards*. Strategic Management.

Frels, R. K., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2013). Administering quantitative instruments with qualitative interviews: A mixed research approach. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 91(2), 184–194.

Gomez-Mejia, L. R., Larraza-Kintana, M., Moyano-Fuentes, J., & Firfiray, S. (2018). Managerial family ties and employee risk bearing in family firms: Evidence from Spanish car dealers. *Human Resource Management*, 57(5), 993–1007.

Gothard, S., & Austin, M. J. (2013). Leadership succession planning: Implications for nonprofit human service organizations. *Administration in Social Work*, 37(3), 272–285.

Government Gazette.

Hart, A. S. (2011). An in-depth evaluation of succession planning and management in health care organizations. University of Minnesota.

Heale, R., & Twycross, A. (2015). Validity and reliability in quantitative studies. *Evidence-Based Nursing*, 18(3), 66–67.

Heilman, M. E. (2001). Description and prescription: How gender stereotypes prevent women's ascent up the organizational ladder. *Journal of Social Issues*.

Hernandez-Marrero, P. (2006). Determinants of self-perceived managerial effectiveness in the Canarian Health Service. University of Toronto.

Herstatt, C., & Von Hippel, E. (1992). From experience: Developing new product concepts via the lead user method: A case study in a "low-tech" field. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 9(3), 213–221.

http://ippr.org.na/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/IPPR_SOEs_FINAL.pdf. [2016, March 8].

Hunt, V., Layton, D., & Prince, S. (2015). Diversity matters. McKinsey & Company, 1(1), 15–29.

Hvidman, U., & Andersen, S. C. (2014). Impact of performance management in public and private organizations. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 24(1), 35–58.

Ibrahim, A. B., Soufani, K., & Lam, J. (2001). A study of succession in a family firm. *Family Business Review*, 14(3), 245–258.

Jaffe, D., Bork, D., Lane, S., Dashew, L., & Paul, J. (1998). We the people... to form a more perfect union. *Family Business*, Summer, 43–51.

Keller, K. (2018). Building the Case for Succession Planning in Higher Education: A study of succession planning pilots within the Minnesota state colleges and universities system.

Kirsten, C. L. (2018). The role of financial management training in developing skills and financial self-efficacy. *The Southern African Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business Management*, 10(1), 1–8.

Klautzer, L., Hanney, S., Nason, E., Rubin, J., Grant, J., & Wooding, S. (2011). Assessing policy and practice impacts of social science research: the application of the Payback Framework to assess the Future of Work programme. *Research Evaluation*, 20(3), 201–209.

Kotter, J. P. (2012). *Leading Change*. Harvard Business Press.

Krapivin, P. (2019, July). Why Past Experience Is A Lousy Predictor Of Job Success.

- Kruger, S., & Steyn, A. A. (2020). Enhancing technology transfer through entrepreneurial development: practices from innovation spaces. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 45(6), 1655–1689.
- Kurz, R., & Bartram, D. (2002). Competency and individual performance: Modelling the world of work. *Organizational Effectiveness: The Role of Psychology*, 227, 255.
- Lewis, R. E., & Heckman, R. J. (2006). Talent management: A critical review. *Human Resource Management Review*, 16(2), 139–154.
- Limbo, C. M. 2019. An analysis of the performance of state-owned enterprises in Namibia: Case studies in the transport sector (PhD dissertation, Stellenbosch University). Retrieved from https://scholar.sun.ac.za/bitstream/handle/10019.1/106068/limbo_performance_2019.pdf?sequence=2&isAllowed=y
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage Publications.
- Linden, R. M. (2003). *Working across boundaries: Making collaboration work in government and nonprofit organizations*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Lowan, V., & Chisoro, C. (2016). The impact of succession planning for business survival: A case of Kwalita Business Consultants, Johannesburg (South Africa). *Kuwait Chapter of Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 33(3514), 1–28.
- Lynn, D. B. (2001). Succession management strategies in public sector organizations: Building leadership capital. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 21(2), 114–132.
- Matthews, C. (2001). Choosing a Successful Successor. <https://www.inc.com/articles/2001/10/23550.html>
- Mclaggan, E., Botha, C. T., & Bezuidenhout, A. (2013). Leadership style and organisational commitment in the mining industry in Mpumalanga. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 11(1), 1–9.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Mirsepasi, N., Faghihi, A., & Babaei, M. R. (2013). Design a System Model for Performance Management in the public sector. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review* (Nigerian Chapter) Vol, 1(4).
- Nellis, J. 2015 *The Evolution of Enterprise Reform in Africa: From State-Owned Enterprises to Private Participation in Infrastructure - and Back?* (September 2015). FEEM Working Paper No. 117.05, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=828764> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.828764>
- Offermann, L. R., & Foley, K. (2020). Is there a female leadership advantage? In *Oxford research encyclopedia of business and management*.

- Phillips, D. R., & Roper, K. O. (2009). A framework for talent management in real estate. *Journal of Corporate Real Estate*.
- Pila, M. M., Schultz, C., & Dachapalli, L.-A. (2016). Factors related to succession planning in a government department in Gauteng. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 14(4), 145–153.
- Prion, S., & Adamson, K. A. (2014). Making sense of methods and measurement: Rigor in qualitative research. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*, 10(2), e107–e108.
- Rajasekar, J., & Khan, S. A. (2013). Training and development function in Omani public sector organizations: A critical evaluation. *Journal of Applied Business and Economics*, 14(2), 37–52.
- Republic of Namibia. 2006. Public Enterprises Governance Act 2 of 2006, Windhoek:
- Rink, F., Stoker, J. I., Ryan, M. K., Steffens, N. K., & Nederveen Pieterse, A. (2019). Gender differences in how leaders determine succession potential: The role of interpersonal fit with followers. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 752.
- Ritchie, J., & Spencer, L. (1994). Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research. In, Bryman A, Burgess RG, eds. *Analyzing Qualitative Data*. Abindgon: Routledge, 173–194.
- Ritchie, P. (2003). *People, plans and practicalities: achieving change through person centred planning*. SHS Trust.
- Rothwell, W. (2010). *Effective succession planning: Ensuring leadership continuity and building talent from within*. Amacom.
- Rynes, S. L., Bretz Jr, R. D., & Gerhart, B. (1991). The importance of recruitment in job choice: A different way of looking. *Personnel Psychology*, 44(3), 487–521.
- Sackett, P. R., & Walmsley, P. T. (2014). Which personality attributes are most important in the workplace? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 9(5), 538–551.
- Sastry, N. S. (2013). HR approaches to talent management. *International Journal of Managerial Studies and Research*, 1(2), 34–36.
- Shaw, K. (2012). Leadership through instructional design in higher education. *Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, 15(3).
- Shorten, A., & Smith, J. (2017). Mixed methods research: expanding the evidence base. In *Evidence-based nursing* (Vol. 20, Issue 3, pp. 74–75). Royal College of Nursing.
- Smith, J. (2022). Executive leadership succession planning in Southern African state-owned enterprises]. *Journal of Leadership and Business*, 9(2), 12–32.
- Smith, Jemma. (2021). 7 skills for a successful management career. <https://www.prospects.ac.uk/jobs-and-work-experience/job-sectors/business-consulting-and-management/7-skills-for-a-successful-management-career>

Smith, Judith, Walshe, K., & Hunter, D. J. (2001). The “redisorganisation” of the NHS: Another reorganisation involving unhappy managers can only worsen the service. British Medical Journal Publishing Group.

South Africa - State Owned Enterprises. US State Department's Office of Investment Affairs. Retrieved 21 February 2018.

Srivastava, A., & Thomson, S. B. (2009). Framework analysis: a qualitative methodology for applied policy research.

Stoddart, G. (2020). Internal vs external in succession planning.
<https://www.thomas.co/resources/type/blog/internal-vs-external-succession-planning>

Tahir, N., Yousafzai, I. K., Jan, S., & Hashim, M. (2014). The impact of training and development on employees performance and productivity a case study of United Bank Limited Peshawar City, KPK, Pakistan. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 4(4), 86.

Taylor, L. (2004). Issues of test comparability. *Research Notes*, 15(2).

Terry, P. S. (2018). Intent and behavior: Examining executive leader succession planning in select New York City nonprofit organizations.

Thakur, D. S., & Thakur, K. S. (2003). Knowledge management: A growing discipline. *Library Herald*, 41(4), 259–274.

The Herald, Zimbabwe. (2023). [Succession Planning critical in business]. Retrieved from [<https://www.herald.co.zw/succession-planning-critical-in-business/>]

Thomson, S. B. (2011). Qualitative research: validity.

Weylandt, M. 2016. SOE governance in Namibia: Will a hybrid system work [online].:

Yadav, R. K., & Dabhade, N. (2013). Performance management system in Maharatna Companies (a leading public sector undertaking) of India—a case study of BHEL, Bhopal (MP). *International Letters of Social and Humanistic Sciences*, 4(49), 49–69.

Yartey, C. A., & Adjasi, C. K. (2007). Stock market development in Sub-Saharan Africa: Critical issues and challenges.