

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_112115
Title of the Manuscript:	Biostimulants for promoting growth, yield and flower quality in Anthurium andreanum Lind.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript that has been compiled is an important part of the contribution to the community to preserve the environment, but should be completed with several drawings and tables that are in accordance with the conditions of research treatment</p> <p>The title of the article is in accordance with the aspects of discussion that have been compiled, but it needs to be given emphasis to the aspects of independent variables and controls in its preparation.</p> <p>The abstract of the article that is compiled is not complete in explaining in detail from the research stage and the treatment is good, but it can confuse the reader because the abstract is made too long and long-winded from the discussion made.</p> <p>From the structure of the manuscript that is prepared is good but needs to be prepared with clear and systematic subsections in accordance with the subject of study discussed in the manuscript</p> <p>The manuscript that has been prepared is scientifically correct, but does not have a good order and flow of the research concept offered so that the reader still has difficulty in capturing the essence of the writing prepared.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the articles that have been offered is one of the good manuscripts for the community but less influential on readers because the concept maps that have not been systematized and the images offered do not appear in the manuscripts that have been compiled</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript that has been prepared is the result of good research but needs some changes that make readers interested in reading it, namely in the need for technological novelty, treatment in accordance with the concept map and the flow of systematic and interesting manuscript subsections.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Imam Sufiyanto
Department, University & Country	State Islamic Institute, Indonesia