

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_112774
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and construction of a system for measuring carbon monoxide, hydrogen and methane concentrations in a co-current downdraft biomass gasifier
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, is important to scientific community only that places that required attention were pointed out in the main work.</p> <p>Design and construction of a co-current downdraft biomass gasifier for measuring carbon monoxide, hydrogen and methane concentrations.</p> <p>Not very comprehensive but correction in respect to that was made in the main work.</p> <p>Yes, they are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, but like I said places that required attention were pointed out in the main work.</p> <p>They are sufficient and mostly recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Observations were made in appropriate places with respect to tenses used in the text.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The author (s) should try to make corrections in places pointed out in the text of the main work. Also, in discussing results related literature should be used to support findings of the current research.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Atiku Yakubu Musa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Nigeria</b>