

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_112395
Title of the Manuscript:	Communing Marine Fishing Community on the South Odisha Coast
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. No. the information is not properly arrange as a scientific article and the title does not reflect the content.</p> <p>2. No. Poorly organised sentences and does not provided the summery of the content.</p> <p>3. No</p> <p>4. No. Almost all the information given here is from other sources with or without citing them.</p> <p>5. References are sufficient, if they have use appropriately.</p> <p>The article is poorly organised and lacking coherence. It does not show proper research methodology, sampling schema and sampling size. When comes to the results and discussion, its does not show any analysis.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English must improve.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Numbers, units, punctuations and abbreviations are must correct and use standard formats. Too much repainting and unnecessary information.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sudheera Samarasinghe Gunasekara</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Institute of Oceanography and Marine Sciences Sri Lanka</b>