

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_113042
Title of the Manuscript:	Secondary Metabolite Profiling of the Endophytic Fungus Trichoderma longibrachiatum L2D2 Isolated from Anaphalis contorta
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. It provides alternative remedies for managing infectious diseases.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The isolation and identification of fungal endophyte sections, some parts need to be written in the methodology section. This section to be reviewed. Information on sample collection and extract storage to be provided in the methodology section. Provide the results for the qualitative biochemical analysis in a table format.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are okay.</p> <p>Write the scientific names in italic. Check the tense used in methodology. Provide information on the transportation of the sample after collection.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is suitable for scholarly communication but the tense has to be corrected throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dennis Jack Opwoko</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mount Kenya University, Kenya</b>