

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_112560
Title of the Manuscript:	Testing Fractional Persistence and Nonlinearity in Infant Mortality Rates of Asia Countries
Type of the Article	Mathematical calculation (Solve a large data ..)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. May be important for econometric scholars as the paper show status of IMR in 45 country only. From medical and public health less important,</p> <p>2. Yes, "Testing Fractional Persistence and Nonlinearity in Infant Mortality Rates of Asia Countries", entire paper explain and show application of these two method or model</p> <p>3. No, scientifically not reach.</p> <p>4. The steps of research not maintain.</p> <p>5. Scope of improving is there (please follow the scientific steps for research)</p> <p>6. Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Okay	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	If this article intends that through "Fractional Persistence and Nonlinearity testing" method "rate" status could be explore which is not try yet. In that case, this is a very good paper but express that through scientific steps of research. Presenting thought is an art irrespective of background.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rafia Rahman
Department, University & Country	Institute of Health Economics, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh