

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_112375
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF REQUIREMENT OF EXTRACTION OF PRIMARY MANDIBULAR SECOND MOLAR IN 3 TO 5 YEAR OLD CHILDREN
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The importance of the manuscript is its guidance to further studies about the factors that determine extraction of mandibular second primary molars and that will lead to protocols to minimise it.</p> <p>It is not it is better to be “the prevalence of extraction of mandibular second primary molars under general anaesthesia: retrospective study”</p> <p>It is, but the author can writes the section” results and discussion” in a better way.</p> <p>It is.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>I suggest to the author to read “</p> <p>-Candan M, Buldur B. Primary tooth extraction pattern among Turkish children with severe early childhood caries treated under general anesthesia. Pesqui Bras Odontopediatria Clin Integr. 2020; 20:e5382. https://doi.org/10.1590/pboci.2020.030</p> <p>- Mukhopadhyay S, Roy P. Extraction of primary teeth in children: An observational study. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2015; 4(1):57-61. https://doi.org/10.4103/2278-9588.151905</p> <p>- Ockell NM, Bâgesund M. Reasons for extractions, and treatment preceding caries-related extractions in 3-8 year-old children. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 2010; 11(3):122-30. DOI: 10.1007/BF03262727</p> <p>Results section need introductory 2-3 sentences, identification of the 2 graphs separately and then writing of the results in another 2 separate paragraphs</p>	<p>y</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Not it is not until corrections of a large number of grammar mistakes corrected</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript needs: Correction of grammer mistakes. Correction of pilgrimised sentences. Rewriting the results, and write the titles of the graphs separately. Delare that “have no conflict of interest.” Change the title of the manuscript to a more informative one.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jihad Mohammed Jomhawi
Department, University & Country	Jordan