

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_111689</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Review on Teacher's Perceptions of ICT Use in Promoting Teaching Learning Processes and Outcomes at Senior Secondary Level, In Mbeya Region.</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This paper is definitely dealing with a very significant issue for both the researchers and educators not only in the arena of ICT but also in every corner of teaching and learning as this is the era of ICT.</li> <li>2. Though it is a good title, I like to suggest "Teacher's Perceptions of ICT Use in Promoting Teaching Learning Processes and Its Outcomes at Senior Secondary Level in Mbeya Region, Tanzania: A Review</li> <li>3. Abstract section should be more concise and precise as well as research method should be discussed briefly in this section.</li> <li>4. Structures and the sections seem okay though it is necessary to mention the method of review (Is it scoping review or systematic review?) in the methodology section.</li> <li>5. Manuscript seems correct</li> <li>6. References seems okay.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Some linguistic corrections should be done through rechecking the manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>A F M Moshiur Rahman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Khulna University, Bangladesh</b>