

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_112214
Title of the Manuscript:	Range of Motion Exercises Performed Most Days at 50% Maximum ROM with Recupe Digital Health Improved ROM Recovery and Reduced Complications
Type of the Article	Short communication

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes, the research seems significant for the scientific community as it provides a concise overview of the research on Range of Motion (ROM) exercises following Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA) using Plethy Recupe wearables. • The title proposed is informative and gives a clear indication of the key findings in your abstract. However, it's quite lengthy, and you might consider simplifying it for better readability. Here's a revised suggestion: "Recupe Digital Health: Optimizing Recovery and Reducing Complications with Home Range of Motion Exercises Post-Total Knee Arthroplasty" • Suggestions for Improvement in the abstract: • Clarity in Methods: It would be beneficial to briefly mention the methods used to measure ROM during home exercises. This could include details about the Plethy Recupe wearables and their accuracy in capturing movement. • Contextualization of Findings: While the abstract provides insightful data, a brief contextualization of the findings within the existing literature on TKA recovery and ROM exercises would strengthen the abstract. • Limitations: It's important to acknowledge any limitations in the study. For example, if there were specific constraints or biases in the Plethy Recupe measurements, these should be mentioned. • Clinical Implications: Consider discussing the clinical implications of the findings. • Overall, the manuscript appears well-structured, with clear subsections and a logical flow of information. • Ensure that the conclusions drawn from the data align with the presented results, and consider highlighting the clinical implications of your findings in the discussion. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Lots of spelling & grammatical mistakes are found. Need to use some language tools.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>--</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rashmin S Tanna
Department, University & Country	Gujarat Technological University, A.V. Parekh Technical Institute, India