

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_112186
Title of the Manuscript:	INSECT PEST COMPLEX OF FIELD PEA CROP
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I will say yes, but the contents suggest the work of a young researcher. If so, you are welcome to the scientific community, and you are encouraged to start growing with your manuscript.</p> <p>It is not totally suitable, and for that I wish to suggest thus: Insect pests complex of a grain legume (field pea) crop. / A study of insect pests complex of a grain legume (field pea) crop. and/or Biennial investigation on insect pest complex of grain legume (field pea) crop in Indian States.</p> <p>I will say yes, but will still point at my comment number 1.—the author(s) should be mentored so that she/he/they will mature scientifically.</p> <p>I strongly suggest that all sub-sections be joined and their spaces closed to have a block scientific report.</p> <p>It is not correct as it is, but if the reviewer's comments 1, 2, 3 and 4 are effected, it will become better.</p> <p>The size of the research made the references partially sufficient but one of the references, FAO, 2021 could not be seen in the manuscript but appears in the reference section. If the author(s) could not locate it, it should be deleted.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The answer here is that it is manageably okay since I cited in (1) that the contents looked that of young researcher (s), and so should be accepted and then subject the author (s) to scientific writing mentoring.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p> <p>The author (s) should be welcomed with open arms and put under mentorship with the supervision of generous older scientists</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nwankwo, Michael Olisa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria</b>