

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_112297
Title of the Manuscript:	An Evaluation of Anti-Diabetic Activity of Ficus benghalensis on Rat Model with Safety Profile Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The subject discussed is important for scientific community. However, the originality of the manuscript is not clearly established. The design needs to be reviewed. The expression must be improved (brief and concise). NO In vivo evaluation of anti-diabetic and anti-hyperlipemic Activities of <i>Ficus benghalensis</i> leaves The objective, the presentation of the results and the conclusion must be reformulated Disposal proposal: I. anti-diabetic activity; II. anti-hyperlipemic activity; III. Toxicity inconsistency in layout, very poor discussion (NO) Ponnuvel Deepa, Kandhasamy Sowndhararajan, Songmun Kim and Se Jin Park, A role of Ficus species in the management of diabetes mellitus: a review, Journal of Ethnopharmacology, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2017.12.045 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Example expression: one million lives lost every year/ one million of death every year The language must be more suitable, brief and concise</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The results are available and relevant. But there is important work to do in the organization of this study, the presentation and discussion of the results.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kam Sami Eric
Department, University & Country	National Public Health Institute, Burkina Faso