

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_112819
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of organic, inorganic and integrated production systems on crop productivity and soil fertility under north Gujarat condition.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>- Answer no.1: Each section of this paper is still not giving enough details including references.</p> <p>- Answer no.2: In my opinion, it is suitable in fair level. I give title alternative named, 'impact possibility of organic, inorganic and integrated production systems for crop productivity and soil fertility under north Gujarat condition.'</p> <p>- Answer no.3: I suggest that it should be arranged in the following order of writing: (1) significant background or introduction of research 1 sentence only; (2) the objectives; (3) methodology; (4) results and (5) conclusions and contributions. (Please check English grammar and proof from English expert too).</p> <p>- Answer no.4: I suggest that it should add sections of literature review (related to your research) because this paper includes few references.</p> <p>- Answer no.5: In each section, it is still not giving enough details. and read and do not understand.</p> <p>- Answer no.6: it is not enough.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In topic of conclusions, it should be divided into 2 parts: (1) conclusions and (2) directions for future research.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yaowaret Jantakat
Department, University & Country	Rajamangala University of Technology, Thailand