

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_112299
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysing Television Captioning: Production, Readings and Effects.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The research gap stated by author/(s) in the manuscript is of high interest in the present research community especially for deaf people. This paper provides a detailed study on the habitual problems faced by the television broadcasting community in human resources and currently available technologies.</p> <p>2. The present title of the article is suitable and follows the journal requirements.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections are well structured and organized. The Author/(s) can include some motivations for choosing the research area along with the challenges faced in the application domain.</p> <p>5. Some scientific terminologies like algorithms and methodology used by various researchers for creating caption, its pros and cons can be identified and analysed for future development of the research.</p> <p>6. According to the flow of work written by Author/(s), this manuscript presents a detailed study on analysing the television captioning. In such case, the manuscript must review a greater number of papers in recent years currently it consists of papers with older years. Henceforth, the literature survey of the manuscript must be improved with some papers in recent years.</p> <p>Additional comment: The Conclusion section includes the derived major insights on the accomplished work, although without clearly indicating relevant subsequent lines of future work for the research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I recommend the author/(s) to use technical jargons to represent scientific terms and avoid using synonyms. The manuscript needs necessary English proofreading; most errors have to do with spell check, structural, grammatical, or syntax issues. Therefore, I recommend the authors to revise and correct it.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The table style used in the manuscript is different for each table. Kindly use the journal template.</p> <p>2. Please check for the figure and table captions along with it's intext citation and description.</p> <p>3. Kindly go through the reference citation style preferred by the journal.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anitha V
Department, University & Country	Sri Sairam College of Engineering, India