

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_112465
Title of the Manuscript:	Perineal ectopic testis – A rare congenital anomaly
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript is relevant for the scientific community. It reports the incidence of a rare diagnostic finding</p> <p>The title is appropriate</p> <p>The abstract is sufficient. It contains all necessary summarised information of the article</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>I will suggest that the author looks for newer references to replace 3 of the cited papers(refs 4,9 and 10) which are over 15 years old. The other references are recent and optimal</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and grammar of the article is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I commend the authors for a job well done. I suggest that they replace the 3 references which are older than 15 years with more recent publications on the subject. They would also do well to add information on whether such findings have been report in their institution and region.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Opeoluwa Adesanya
Department, University & Country	Federal Medical Centre, Nigeria