

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_110085
Title of the Manuscript:	South African government functionality Assessment through the eyes of ordinary citizens
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) Yes. Author had assessed the functionality of South African Government through the eyes of ordinary citizens effectively. Author had quoted extensively from the Constitution of South Africa, 1996 and applied this in order to enquire whether this practically implemented well or not. This is a significant manuscript in order to contribute for the scientific community.</p> <p>2) Yes, Suitable</p> <p>3) Yes</p> <p>4) Yes</p> <p>5) Yes</p> <p>6) Yes, sufficient and recent. But author has to rewrite the majority of references correctly and consistently with web sites names where applicable.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Author's article english quality is good. But there are some spelling mistakes and other small mistakes. The reviewer has marked these as in red colour. So, author has to correct these red marked corrections. The conclusion is lengthy. So author has to revise it and make it short.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Author has to clearly mention in the methodology part of the manuscript that how many people authored had interviewed face to face.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rama Rao Bonagani
Department, University & Country	Central University of Kerala, India