

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_110085
Title of the Manuscript:	South African government functionality Assessment through the eyes of ordinary citizens
Type of the Article	Manuscript

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	No, the manuscript seems to be based on sociological, political and administrative aspect. Although the topic is novel but the approach to prove the objectives of the research are not sufficient enough and lack justification.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	No, It lacks the specific methodology applied	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	No, because the methodology part lacks the specific subjects taken into for study. For instance, the number of participants who were interviewed face to face i.e. the sample size is not clear. Secondly the secondary sources are not clearly mentioned.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The references are not sufficient to prove the hypothesis or Research objectives taken under study.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, the English quality is not suitable for scholarly communications, rather I quote this as major revision to correct the grammatical errors, typological error, singular/plural words, is, are, sentence framing etc have to be focused seriously. I, advice to take help of grammar aids to reframe the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anil Manjhi
Department, University & Country	Government Engineering College, India