

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_110308</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Robot-Assisted Aquaculture and Sustainable Seafood Production for Enhanced Food Security</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review paper</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This paper focus on the topic of using robots to achieve sustainable seafood production. Thereby the corresponding challenges and benefits have been addressed along the paper. Overall, the paper is written in suitable length and quality for this journal but with following concerns: 1) More robotic related papers are welcomed in the introduction section. This will be benefit for the readers to know which kind of robots and how the robots are involved in this application. 2) A figure is suggested in the paper to show how the robot can be used in this field. 3) A table is suggested in the paper for displaying the history of robot in aquaculture.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? --- appropriate</p> <p>3. Is the title of the article suitable? --- appropriate</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? --- appropriate</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? --- yes</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. --- yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Appropriate	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	N/A	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nan Ma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lancaster University, UK</b>