

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_113704
Title of the Manuscript:	PRELIMINARY OUTCOME OF IMMEDIATE EFFECT OF PHOTOBIMODULATION ON pH AND SALIVARY FLOW
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript addresses the immediate effects of photobiomodulation on salivary flow and pH in individuals with xerostomia, a common side effect of cancer therapies. This study is important for the scientific community as it explores potential treatment options for a significant issue affecting patients undergoing cancer treatment. The title is suitable and accurately reflects the content of the study. No alternative title suggestions are needed. The abstract is comprehensive, providing a clear summary of the study objectives, methods, and key findings. However, consider adding a brief sentence on the potential clinical implications of the results. The overall structure is appropriate. Consider enhancing clarity by providing a brief overview of the methodology in the introduction to better prepare the reader for the subsequent detailed explanation in the methodology section. The manuscript appears scientifically sound. Ensure clarity in describing the methodology to avoid any ambiguity. The references seem adequate, covering relevant literature. However, consider including more recent references, especially in the introduction, to demonstrate the current state of research in the field. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The language is generally suitable, but there are instances of awkward phrasing. For example, in the conclusion, the phrase "statistically significant in the activation of the salivary glands to increase the salivary flow" could be revised for better clarity. It is recommended to carefully proofread the manuscript for language consistency. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider providing a brief statement in the introduction regarding the potential clinical significance and application of the study findings. Ensure clarity and precision in describing the methodology to avoid any confusion among readers. Check the manuscript for grammatical consistency and clarity, especially in the conclusion section. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zarina Ehtesham
Department, University & Country	Hamdard University, Pakistan