

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_113153
Title of the Manuscript:	Bio-consortia: a potential ecofriendly weapon to enhance the productivity of the green gram
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Dear editorial colleagues</p> <p>I have preliminarily reviewed the article "Bio consortia: a potential ec of friendly weight to enhance the pro activity of the green gram".</p> <p>Here is a list of the issues I have discovered:</p> <p>1、 The entire text has clear logic and no major language or grammar errors!</p> <p>2、 The conclusion section suggests going deeper and clarifying the importance and irreplaceability of using the "T4" method compared to other methods!</p> <p>3、 The data section of the experiment needs to be more thorough and in-depth, specifically positioned in the second half of the first paragraph of subheading 3.3.</p> <p>4、 Can the second paragraph (increment,,,) be separately divided into a subheading or paragraph, separated from the previous paragraph using a title?</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rongqing Shi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>China</b>