

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_113220</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Conjoint application of lime, organics, inorganic fertilizers, and bio-fertilizers influences groundnut productivity, available and microbial biomass phosphorus in acidic soil of Tripura</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is quite important for the community</p> <p>The title is within the scope of this journal</p> <p>The abstract should be improved by stating the background of the study and the part of the method should be briefly explained. Structure is ok but the explanation of method and discussion should be added (see reviewed manuscript) Yes it is</p> <p>The references are very limited and most is out of date. Additional references (5 years or less) are necessary</p> <p>The manuscript must be revised before published</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English is understandable</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript was lack of comprehensiveness especially Method and Discussion</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zainal Mukhtar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The University of Bengkulu, Indonesia</b>