

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_113162
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between the WASH, morbidity, and childhood undernutrition among the Wogo, an island community in western Niger.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The manuscript is important for the scientific community as the author/s ultimately shared how they evaluated the relationship between WASH and morbidity and the nutritional status of children aged 0 to 59 months in the rural island commune of Wogo de Sinder utilizing a survey of mothers. The paper highlighted the importance of considering the access, storage, and treatment of drinking water for the community. Especially since these island populations are directly exposed to all diseases linked to poor-quality water. This is necessary to improve the condition of the community, especially the children aged 0 to 59 months. It also discussed the diseases linked to poor WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) practices as one of the main causes of morbidity and mortality among children under five, which can be solved through the use of the results of this study. ➤ The title suits the article best. It reveals what the researchers want to convey in the study. ➤ The abstract includes information that is important for understanding the content of the manuscript. ➤ The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. ➤ I believe that this manuscript is scientifically correct in such a way that it was logically organized in terms of its format, which fitted specific criteria as determined by the scientific community or sub-communities (different locales showed the same or parallel results as this manuscript). Their methodology (descriptive cross-sectional) has been standardized in journals that communicated information such as cross-institutional activities which are also significant to those in the field being discussed. ➤ The references are mostly recent, however, there are some studies mentioned that were done in 2013, 2014, even 20022, and 2003. I suggest finding more recent studies that will serve as support for the findings. ➤ I also suggest formatting the references using APA 7th edition. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ I think the article's quality in using English is suitable for scholarly communications. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ This is an appealing research study and the authors have collected a unique dataset using a cutting-edge methodology [a descriptive cross-sectional study with two passages - just after the harvests (October-December) and during the lean period (June–August) of 2021] that helped investigate how the promotion of hygiene and guaranteed access to drinking water and sanitation has proven effective in reducing cases of child undernutrition at Wogo. ➤ The paper is generally well-written and structured. The paper reflected the content and purpose of the study. Expressions of ideas for the overall paper are clear and systematically arranged. The manuscript provided adequate details to answer the objectives. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ma. Cecilia O. Martinez
Department, University & Country	College of Nursing, Pamantasan Ng Lungsod Ng Maynila, Philippines