

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_112953
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS OF ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY AND MARINE GREEN SEAWEED ULVA LACTUCA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>As the manuscript emphasizing phytochemical constituents and antimicrobial activity of marine algae, it can be considered as important for scientific community.</p> <p>The author has given the title “<b>PHYTOCHEMICALCONSTITUENTS OFANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY AND MARINE GREEN SEAWEED ULVA LACTUCA</b>”, -Typographical error is there, need to be changed as” PHYTOCHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACITIVITY OF MARINE GREEN SEAWEED <i>ULVA LACTUCA</i>”</p> <p>The abstract is showing the summary of the work, eventhough in so many places word format need to be changed and grammatical errors are to be corrected. Space is not even in most of the places. Eg., “<b>Antibacterial activity of four concentration were highest activity of <i>KlebsiellaS.aureus</i>Methanolic, Ethanolic resistance were respectively</b>”</p> <p>The author had done a huge number of experiments, in a beautiful manner the results have come, but the way and flow he expressed to be modified, then its easy to understand and clearly to know the core of the work. (simply telling the symbol “<math>\mu</math>” also it was written as “u” in the manuscript.</p> <p>References are enough.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	To be Revised.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Major revision is required (Sentence pattern, typographical error, symbol changes, grammatical errors need to be changed.</b></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>B. Bharathi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>MMM College of Health Sciences, India</b>