

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_113751
Title of the Manuscript:	CHILDHOOD HEALTH RISKS AND VULNERABILITIES ASSOCIATED WITH CLIMATE CHANGE IN THE PHILIPPINES: BASIS FOR CHILD HEALTH VULNERABILITY FRAMEWORK
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>-Yes, the manuscript highlights the vulnerabilities of children in the event of climate change, particularly important not only in the Philippines where climate change events are drastic, but to the globe at large. The title is captivating and clear, portrays the content of the work.</p> <p>-The abstract is comprehensive enough, I made little change.</p> <p>-Yes, the structure of the manuscript is clear.</p> <p>-The manuscript is good for publication when those adjustment are taken into consideration.</p> <p>-The reference are sufficient and good.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language clarity is perfect.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-In the abstract, you mentioned that the issues identified in the study require attention from the LGU. The evidences in the study are not solid enough to make such proclamation. The framework developed in the study can rather serve as a guide for intervention. Propose robust quantitative study using this present study as a baseline.</p> <p>-Research participants: Indicate the number of BHWs plan for the interview and also the number of parents. We understand that BHWs must have been trained enough to understand climate related health risks. That's why it is important to know these numbers, considering bias in those interviewed.</p> <p>-Results and discussion- state number of BHWs and parents interviewed, number of those who refused participation and reasons for refusal</p> <p>-Limitation- Highlight the limitations of the study, considering the sample size, its purposive native. Hence it cannot be generalised nor used to draw conclusion, further studies with quantitative nature can be drawn from this to demonstrate the impact of the childhood vulnerabilities in climate change.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tambe Elvis Akem
Department, University & Country	Belgium