

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_113273
Title of the Manuscript:	Can international Business Perspective Measure Sustainable Development of BRICS Countries? A Panel Data Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, it does not include all the tests/or model applied and their result</p> <p>No, under methodology there ought to be subsection and subsubsections</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Relatively; period 2020 to 2022 well covered, however year 2023 not covered</p> <p>1. In the methodology section, no model, test statistic or hypothesis mentioned. Include panel unit root test model, cross dependence test, Hausman test, panel ARDL bound model with the respective ECM (short-run dynamics) and cointegration (long-run relationship) model and lastly the causality test model. You may label the test/model as subsubsections</p> <p>2. Results section should follow the sequence in the methodology, and no result for test/model that's not in the methodology section</p> <p>3. Consider combining the panel unit root test results at level and first difference into one table, probably with the p-value in brackets or reducing the column width.</p> <p>4. Consider combining specific country ECM model results into a single table, probably by having the p-value in brackets within one cell or reducing the column within. P-value caters for t-statistic in decision making on the hypothesis</p> <p>5. Table 2,3,4 and 5, unnecessary wording in the caption</p> <p>6. Avoid () **</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, though there are some error highlighted in yellow within the document(font style; citation of more than two authors; etc)</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Njenga
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya