

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_113273</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Can international Business Perspective Measure Sustainable Development of BRICS Countries? A Panel Data Analysis</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>If done more sensibly</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>Somewhat misleading – needs to be focussed and clear</b></p> <p>4. <b>Layout is acceptable</b></p> <p>5. <b>No – issues with correlation vs causality and homogeneity of BRICS countries</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In general the paper is well written and technically on standard.</p> <p>It suffers modern academic fatigue. The authors really should</p> <p>1) Understand the difference between correlation and causality and the direction of causality. Several statements about links between variables are made that highlights this fundamental philosophical issue.</p> <p>2) I know it is in vogue to make things about environmental roles – is this really the fundamental question you are trying to address.</p> <p>3) Homo-and Heterogeneity in the Panel variables. BRICS is a construct and not a thing. The five members are 5 completely different animals and should be treated as such. I would advice the authors to rather do five different analyses based on sound theory and then comparing the studies. It will be much more informative.</p> <p>4) Please pay head to basic Economic philosophy and reason – making a statement like Poverty and CO2 emissions leads to FDI – shows very little understanding of the fundamental causes of FDI.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Henri Bezuidenhout</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Economics, North-West University, South Africa</b>