

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_111724
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Ayurveda Based Panchkarma Therapy in change of the VO2peak in Chronic Heart Failure Patients with - An Observational Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The current health care treatment in various countries inclusive of Ayurveda, in such scenario this manuscript is scientifically vital.</p> <p>The title is acceptable.</p> <p>Abstract is presented to justify the objective of the study, the readers who are interested in ayurveda therapy may relax and feel comfortable while reading this abstract and it is comprehensive.</p> <p>The manuscript is narrated in such a way that the Ayurveda is part of the CHF treatment, each subsection from introduction to discussion including tables the authors believe that their study is going to have a prominent place in CHF treatment.</p> <p>The Ayurveda is in a hanging concept in health treatment for a quite long years, those who believe and expected to believe it need a permanent place in scientific world this manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Reference are till the year 2020, additional reference are good.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The quality of English is acceptable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Gunalan
Department, University & Country	AMET University, India