Original Research Article

Study of The Influence of Storage Media on the Thermo-Mechanical Behavior of Concrete and Cement Blocks

---

ABSTRACT

This study focuses on the effect of storage environments, in particular ambient temperature and humidity conditions, on the thermal and mechanical properties of concrete and cement blocks in a dry tropical climate. Indeed, the majority of studies designed to improve the thermal comfort and durability of buildings are carried out in laboratories under well-controlled test conditions that do not reflect the actual conditions under which the material structures are installed. In order to remedy these shortcomings, we studied three environments for storing specimens after curing the materials. The specimens were stored in the open air under ambient climatic conditions (35°C on average), in a water tank (29°C on average) and in aclimatic chamber (20°C and 53% relative humidity). Concrete and cement block samples were stored for 28 days and 10 days respectively. Thermal parameters were measured with the KD2-Pro device, and mechanical tests with a concrete press or a press adapted to the 4x4x16 cm3 cinder block samples. Results ranged from1.126(±0.003) to1.289(±0.023) W.m$^{-1.}$K^{-1} for thermal conductivity. Mechanical test results ranged from 3.93 (±0.45) to 4.13 (±0.27) MPa for flexural strength and 16.42 (±0.17) to 17.95 (±0.21) MPa for compressive strength.The lowest thermal conductivity was obtained in the climatic chamber, with a value of 1.126 (± 0.0032) W.m^{-1}. K^{-1}.The best 28-day compressive strength of concrete and the best 10-day flexural strength of cement blocks were obtained for samples stored in the climatic chamber.

Keywords: Concrete, Cementblocks,Mechanicalresistance,Thermalproperties

1. INTRODUCTION

Burkina Faso has a hot, dry tropical climate. Thermal amplitudes are subject to strong seasonal variations [1].These variations tend to increase with climate change.In the face of these often-severe climatic conditions, the materials that are most resistant to these climatic hazards are conventional materials such as concrete and breeze blocks. in the quest for thermal comfort in the home, these materials are the least suitable compared with eco-materials, because their thermal properties are no better than those of eco-materials [2].But in terms of mechanical strength, they are still enviable.Concrete and breeze blocks are heterogeneous materials composed of a hydrated cement paste reinforced with aggregates.This paste is capable of changing its structure and properties under the effect of curing and storage conditions.Inhot,dry areas, these materials are subjected to very high temperatures andintense evaporation from an early age. the early departure of the mixing water will slow down or completely stop the hydration reaction of the cement, influencing the quality of the hydrates formed [3]. Forthis reason, it is important to ensure that the cement is cured before storing it in an environment that complies with standard norms [4].At building level, the major challenge is to find a material that consumes less energy,is thermally suitable and is more resistant to external stresses. This challenge has remained without a concrete solution, because we are still seeing cracks,early deterioration and the collapse of buildings when they have barely been accepted or not at all. This state of infrastructure calls into question the credibility of those involved inconstruction, and the quality, formulation andconservation conditions of materials. Our study focuses on the conservationconditions of these cementitious materials.Inreality, when materials are not stored in accordance with conservation standards that reflect the actual climatic conditions in our countries,these conditions can influence their thermo-mechanicalproperties [5]. These properties change with age and are sensitive to ambient temperature and humidity conditions [6].In order to determine the degree of influence of the preservation media on the materials, we first analysed the quality of the materials used to formulate the concrete and breeze block samples. Next, we chose a formulation method that allows us to obtain the optimum proportions of aggregates.Finally,the thermal and mechanical parameters were measured using the KD2-Pro device and a mechanicalpress, respectively. Thisenableda comparison to be made between the mechanical and thermal strengths of concrete and breeze blocks formulated and storedin threedifferent environments.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this part of the work, we specify the various tools used, as well as the procedure for formulating and carrying out the tests.

2.1 Nature and origin of materials used for sample formulation

2.1.1 Aggregates

In this study, we used a mixture of two common classes of gravel:class 5/15 crushed gravel and class 15/25crushed gravel.This mixture of two classes enabled us to improve.Workability and avoid concrete segregation.We opted for class 0/4 sand, given its quality andtechnicalperformance. Theseaggregates come from theSOGEA SATOM base located on the Donsin airport site (Ouagadougou).

2.1.2 The binder

Cement is the binder used. We used CEM II 42.5 R "ELEPHANT" cement produced by CIMFASO. It is manufactured in compliance with Burkinabe standard **NBF 02-013:2009**. This choice was made in view of its availability on the Burkinabe market and its technical performance.

2.1.3 Mixing water

The mixing water we used was tap water, from Burkina Faso National Water and Sanitation Office (ONEA)

2.2 Sample composition

2.2.1 Concrete samples

Themethod chosen for determining concrete composition is that of Dreux-Gorisse [7]with a slump of5.4cm; W/C(Water/Cement)=0.6; G/S(gravel/Sand) =1.93;SE(sandequivalent)=70.30.
The material dosages obtained for 1m^3 of concrete are shownin table 1

Table 1: Concrete dosages by weight per 1m^3 using the Dreux-Gorisse method

Constituents	Sand0/4 (kg)	Gravel5/15 (kg)	Gravel15/25 (kg)	Cement (CEMII 42.5R) (kg)	Water (L)
Quantity	48	37.5	55.5	30	20

2.2.2 Cement block samples

The cement block samples were formulated in accordance with standard [8].W/C=0.5; SE= 70.30.Dosages for 1m^3 of cement block are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Dosages by weight per 1m^3 of cement blocks

Constituents	Sand0/4 (kg)	Cement (CEM II42.5R) (kg)	Water (L)
Quantity	32	18	10

2.3 Specimen preparation

Two types of specimens are used in this work (Figure 1):

- ✓ Cylindrical specimens (16x32) cm^2 for compression testing.
- ✓ Prismatic specimens (4x4x16) cm^3 for bending tests.

Specimens are prepared in the laboratory at a temperature of between 25 and 33°C.They are kept in this environment for24 hours until demolded. They are then stored in the desired environment for up to 28 days for concrete and 10 days for cinder blocks.The resistance and thermal conductivity values of the samples are the average of threetests**.**

Fig.1. Filling the specimens cylindrical

Fig.2. Filling the specimens prismatic

2.4 Sample curing

In dry, hot climates, the difference in moisture content between the materials and the surrounding environment means that the latter is always out of equilibrium.

To avoid this situation, materials arecured immediately after demolding.Curing ensures that the materials maintain a favorable temperature and sufficient humidity in the space occupied by water in the cement paste. Many different methods can be used for this treatment.In our case, we proceeded by spraying the materials.The recommended curing time depends on the type of curing, the characteristics of the materials and the conservation environment.Several normative documents **[2]**give minimum durations depending on storage conditions and cement type.Numerous studies [9;10;11; 12] insist on curing for at least the first seven days.Neville[13]suggests the same duration forCPOcements(OrdinaryPortland Cement**)**.For slow-hardening cements, he recommends a long cure. We cured our materials for three (03) days.

2.5 Storage conditions

Threeenvironments wereadopted. Inenvironmentsand III,weused a temperature and relative humidity (RH) sensor for data acquisition.

Environment I:Open air under actual climatic conditions. Temperature (T) between 33 and 42°C and relative humidity (RH) below 30% (RH < 30%).

Environment II: In a water tank. We have: T= 29 ± 1.45°C and RH =100%.

Environment III: In a climatic chamber.T= 20 ± 1 °C [14] and RH = 45± 2.25%.

2.6 Experimental set -ups

2.5.1 Thermal parameters

Thermal parameters were measured using the KD2-Pro device (Fig.3).

Fig.3.Measurement of thermal properties with KD2-Pro

This device uses the model for solving the heat transfer equation by the transient linear heat source propagation method in a semi-infinite medium, an equation published in IEEI standards [15].The measured quantities are:

- Thermal conductivity λ, which represents the material's ability to transmit heat under the effect of a temperature gradient;
- Thermaldiffusivity α,whichcharacterizes the speed at whichheat propagates by conduction in a body.It is given by equation 1

$$\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{\rho c} \quad (1)$$

C: amount of heat required to raise the temperature of the unit mass of the material by one (1) degree Celsius (1°C).

ρ: density of the material.

2 .5.2 Mechanical parameters

Thedevicesused to evaluate the mechanical parameters are shown in figures 4 and 5:

Figure4 showsa Class 1 manual concrete press with a capacity of 2000 kN and two pressure gauges. It is suitable for 16×32 cm^2 cylindrical specimens. The vertical distance between the 2 platens is 336 mm, and the diameter of the platens is216mm.This device operates in accordance with the followingstandards:NFP18-411/EN772-1/ASTMC39/ BS1610,6073.

Figure5 shows an automatic bending testing machine with a capacity of 200 kN. It is suitable for reliable and consistent bending tests on standard concrete beams, 4x4x16cm^3specimens.The device is designed and operated in compliance with the followingstandards: EN12390-5,EN 12390- 6,EN 1338,EN1340,BS 1881, ASTM C78, C293 and C496.

Figure 4: *Compression test*

Figure 5: Three-point bending test

Wedetermined mechanicalparameters such as compressivestrengthRc (MPa)for concrete samples and flexural strengthRf (MPa) for cinder block samples according to **NF EN 772-1** [16] by equations (2) and (3).

$$R_c = \frac{F}{S} \qquad \textbf{(2)}$$

F *:* breaking force in kN.

S: surface area of 16 x 32 cm² test piece.

$$R_f = \frac{3FL'}{2le^2} \qquad \textbf{(3)}$$

F (N): breaking force

l (mm): material width
e(mm):material thickness

L'(mm): length between the two supports of the specimen

3. Results and discussion

The average numerical values obtained from the various tests are summarized below. An interpretation of the various results is also given below.

3.1 Materialparticle sizedistribution

3.1.1 Gravel

The grading curves for 5/15 and 15/25 gravel are shown in Figures 6 and 7 respectively.



Fig.6.Grading curve for 5/15 gravel

It can be seen that for this gravel the sieve openings are between 1 and 20 mm. the first point on the curve corresponds to the sieve with a mesh opening greater than 0.5 mm. the aggregate analysed is therefore of class d/d (minimum grain diameter/maximum grain diameter). the last point of the curve corresponds to 100% passing. this confirms that the aggregate analysed is class 5/15.



Fig.7.Grading curve for 15/25 gravel

We can see that for this gravel the sieve opening is greater than 5 mm. The first point of the curve corresponds to the sieve with a mesh opening greater than 0.5 mm. In this case, the analysed aggregate is of class d/D (minimum diameter grain diameter). The last point of curve corresponds to 100% passing. This confirms that the analysed aggregate is Class 15/25.

3.1.2 Sand

The grading curve for the sand we used to formulate our materials is shown in figure 8.



Fig.8.Grading curve for 0/4 sand

On the curve, the first point corresponds to the sieve with a mesh opening of 0.08 mm in diameter, with a percentage of passers of around 14%. This first point shows that the minimum grain diameter (d) is less than 0.5 mm. The material analysed is therefore class 0/d (maximum grain diameter). The last point on the curve corresponds to the mesh sieve with a diameter d of around 0.9 mm, with 100% passing. this confirms the 0/4granularclass of the sand. In addition, we determined the fineness modulus (FM) of the sand found FM=3.19.So, this is a coarse sand that will yield materials of good strength.

3.2 Thermal properties of materials

Figures 9 and 10 show the thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivityof cement blocks as a function of the storage media.



Fig.9.Thermal conductivity values as a function of the storage

For cement bricks exposed to the open air at room temperature, the thermal conductivity is of the order of 1.23 w/m.k(±0.028) which is close to that of A. chikhi et al [17]. when the cinder blocks are stored in a water tank, the thermal conductivity increases by 4.88%. This increase can be explained by the presence of water, which activates the hydration of the mineral binder (cement) and eliminates pores or reduces the size of existing pores.This necessarily influencesthe thermal conductivity of the material. Onthe other hand, whenthe materials are kept in a climatic chamber (20°C and 53% RH) the thermalconductivity of the materials drops by 8.33%. This drop could be due to theregulation of temperature and relativehumidity in the enclosure. this condition of the chamber affects the binder and makes the material more compact.



Fig. 10. Thermal diffusivity values as a function of storage medium

It can be seen that the materials exposed to ambient temperature have a higher thermal diffusivity than the other two storage environments (water tank and climatic chamber)

This higher value can be explained by the difference in temperature between theother environment(35°C on average)and other environments(29°Cand20°C on average) during the ten days of storage.This temperaturedifferenceinfluences the thermal diffusivity of the materials.

3.3 Mechanical properties of the materials

We show the compressive and flexural strengths of the materials. Figure 11 shows the results of the compressive strengths of concrete and Figure 12 the flexural strengths of breeze blocks as a function of thestorageenvironments.



Fig.11.Compressive strength of concrete at 7, 14 and 28 days

Most of the values obtained are well above the minimum tolerance values, which are 4 MPa for hollow blocks and 8 MPa for solid blocks [18; 19].Up until the seventh day, the concretes matured at the same rate in the different storage environments. however, the compressive strength of the concrete in the room at 20°c was clearly better than that of the concrete stored in the open air and in the water tank. at fourteen (14) days, the strength in the open air had increased considerably compared to that obtained at seven (7) days due to the very high temperature, which activated the hydration of the cement. However, in the water tank, the strength dropped due to climatic variations during the day. this variation leads to a difference in temperature between the surface of the water and the inside of the tank in which the samples are immersed. the difference in temperature can cause significant thermal shock. However, the compressive strength in the chamber at 20°c is still better than in the other media. It can be seen that at 28 days the strength changes significantly in the water tank and in the 20°C chamber compared with 14 days,because the cement hydration reaction has had time to complete.However,in the open air, the strength is considerably lower than at 14 days.This drop in strength can be explained by the fact that up to 28 days of exposure to the open air without protection, the effects of temperature become controversial and lead to excessive departure of mixing water,which can completely stop the hydration reaction,and compressive strength is significantly lower. these results are in line with those found by verbeck and al [20].When the age ratio of the strengths in the three media is calculated, we obtain:

- Open air: 80.75%
- Water tank:70.37 %
- Air-conditioned chamber at 20°C:68.44 %

We compared these ratios with those found by L.Lachematand al [21].In their work, they found that the resistance ratio in water was 70.68% compared with 79.15% in open air. These results are in agreement with our own.The same results were confirmed by Tsui leug-cho and al [22] and A.F Abbast and al [23].



Fig.12. Values of three-point bending strength of materials

Three-point bending tests were carried out 10 days after the blocks were made. Strength values ranged from 3.93(± 0.27) to 4.5(±0.25)Mpa.Flexural strengths vary according to the storage environment.The specimens stored in the climatic chamber had higher strength values than the other two storage environments. this result can be explained by the fact that during the ten (10) days of storage in the chamber, heat exchange is low.In a controlled environment, the binder sets normally with a faster hydration reaction. On the other hand, in both environments, under unstable ambient temperature conditions, the cement hydration reaction is not rapid. The instability of the ambient conditions has an influence on the binder, which could lead to a drop in flexural strength in other environments.

4. Conclusion

The results presentedin this article are based on thethermo-mechanical characterisation of conventional materials such as concrete and breeze blocks.This involved formulating the samples and determining the thermal and mechanical parameters.It emerged that the compressive and flexural strength of materials depends on the environment in which they are stored. Of the three storage environments, the best results were obtained in the 20°C air-conditioned enclosure.The difference in mechanical behaviour between materials exposed to a real climate and materials stored in a controlled environment is mainly due to the quality of the hydrates formed.Therefore, any instantaneous variation can lead to a change in the structure of these hydrates. As far as thermal parameters are concerned, the best results are also obtained in the climatic chamber.It should be noted that the behaviour of materials isgreatly influenced by variations in climatic parameters.

REFERENCES

[1] National Meteorological Agency of Burkina Faso, climatic zone, Ouagadougou, 1971-2000.

[2] A. Kemajou, a. Tseuyep, n.e. egbewatt, “thermal comfort in a humid tropical climate towards a redevelopment of ergonomic standards,” journal of renewable energies, vol. 15, no. %13, p. 427, 2012.

[3] K. bendjillali, and l. azzouz and S. kenai, “contribution of the real conservation of concrete to the effect of the cure on its mechanical resistance,” at university of laghouat, university of blida, 2004

[4] ACI commitee 305, recommended practice for hot weather concreting. ACI 305-82.

[5] J.ndione et al, “optimization of the thermal and mechanical properties of concrete based on pumice,” journal of engineering sciences, n° %16, pp. 25-30, 2006.

[6] NF en 771-3+a1, specification for masonry units - part 3: aggregate concrete masonry units (common and lightweight aggregates), 2015.

[7] Dreux.g. and festa. j., “new guide to concrete and its constituents”, 8th ed, eyrolles,1998.

[8] NF en 771-3+a1, specification for masonry units part 3: aggregate concrete masonry units (common and lightweight aggregates), 2015.

[9] - AFNOR p 15-301, "hydraulic binders-definitions-classification and specifications of cements", June 1994.

[10] - AFNOR p 18-101, "aggregates - vocabulary - definitions and classifications", Dec. 1990.

[11] Arquie, G. and Tourenq, C., “Granulat”, Press of the National School of Bridges and Roads, 1990.

[12] AFNOR P 18-542, "Common natural aggregates for hydraulic concrete - Qualification criteria for aggregates with respect to alkali-reaction", May 1994.

[13] Neville, A.M., "Properties of concrete", Pitman, London: 3rd ed, 1981.

[14] NF EN 12390-2, Specification for masonry units - Part 4: Aggregate concrete masonry units (common and lightweight aggregates), 2017.

[15] Y. Millogo, m. Hajjaji, r. Ouedraogo, “Microstructure and physical properties of lime-clayey adobe bricks,” Construction and Building Materials, n° %1,22, pp. 2386 - 2392, (2008).

[16] NF EN 772-1, Test methods for masonry units - Part 1: Determination of compressive strength, 2011.

[17] Ali Chikhi, Azeddine Belhamri, Anthony Magueresse, “hygro-thermal behavior of porous building material subjected to different external temperature and humidity conditions,” Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, vol. 11, no. %1 4, pp. 458 - 475, (2016).

[18] D. Montharry and m. platzer, Building technology: All trades. Edition le Moniteur, ISBN 978-2-281-11446-1, (2006) 105 p.

[19] D.Montharry and m.Platzer, Building technology, All trades, Editions Le Moniteur, (2006) 119.

[20] G. J. Verbeck and R.H Hummuth, “structures and physical properties of cement past,” at 5th international symposium on the chemistry of cement session III-1, Tokyo, 1968.

[21] L. Lachemat and S. Kenai, "Effect of type and duration of curing on the properties of concrete in hot climates," at International Seminar on the Quality of Concrete in Hot Climates, Ghardaia, 22-24 March 1994 .

[22] Tsui leug-cho: "An investigation on the time-strength relatio shim on the concrete in

Hong Kong," in Works of the RILEM symposium on concrete and reinforced concrete in hot countries, Haifa, Israel, vol. II, 1971.

[23] A.F Abbast and M.S Alum, “compressive strength of concrete in hot weather,” Housing science, vol. 6, no. %12, 1982.