

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_112550
Title of the Manuscript:	Compatibility Studies on Selective Insecticides, Fungicides and Water-Soluble Fertilizer Mixtures in Soybean
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable but it lacks implication(s). Thus I suggest this title: Compatibility Studies on Selective Insecticides, Fungicides and Water-Soluble Fertilizer Mixtures for optimize the integrated pest management in Soybean</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article is globally comprehensive</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but it lacks two subsections namely authors' contributions and competing interests</p> <p>5. Not yet, the manuscript needs major revisions (please see the reviewer comment in the manuscript via the track changes)</p> <p>6. The authors needed to add some references in the manuscript in materials and methods part for example because there is some paragraphs in this part without references!!!</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English quality of the authors needed to improve as well as possible!</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors needed to follow the guidelines for authors in entire part of the manuscript! For Reference to a journal for example according for Published paper: the name of the journal it's in abbreviated form! The authors' contributions part also lack</p> <p>The study of these authors is very interesting because it aimed, at terms, to optimize the integrated pest management (IPM) by combination spray of insecticides and fungicides to manage both insect pests and diseases simultaneously in a soybean crop season. However, the authors need to follow up the comments (major revisions) of the reviewer inserted, via track changes, in the manuscript and answer point by point to reviewer's queries.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Not applicable

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahob Raymond Joseph
Department, University & Country	University of Yaoundé I, Cameroon