

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_113177</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Technology and Public Sector Performance in Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The paper appears to discuss the use of technology to improve efficiency in the public sector in Nigeria. If the paper presents new insights or data on this topic, and the findings have implications for policymakers or researchers in the field, then it could potentially be valuable to the scientific community.</li> <li>It is informative and accurately reflects the content of the paper, which discusses the use of ICT to improve efficiency and productivity in public service delivery in Nigeria.</li> <li>The abstract is comprehensive in nature.</li> <li>I have noticed that the sections and subsections in the manuscript are not properly numbered. This can make it difficult for me to follow the organization of the manuscript effectively. I recommend that the author review and write the section headings to ensure that they are clear, consistent, and properly numbered.</li> <li>Several areas where improvements can be made to ensure the scientific validity of the paper. Specifically, the review of literature and methodology require substantial revision and improvement. Further clarifications and additional data may be needed to ensure the study is scientifically rigorous. I recommend that the authors undertake specific revisions to these sections before resubmitting the manuscript for publication.</li> </ol> <p>Additional comments for Improvisation, which is a must.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide a clear research question and methodology.</li> <li>Explain the specific ways that ICT has improved efficiency in the public sector in Nigeria.</li> <li>Expand on the limitations and challenges faced in the public sector in Nigeria.</li> <li>Mention the future research direction.</li> <li>Provide more specific references to support the claims made in the paper.</li> <li>Improve the overall organization and clarity of the paper.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amarnath Padhi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>NIST Institute of Science and Technology, India</b>