

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_113741</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Hungarian Technique and Selection of Optimized Plan of Insurance</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Sample Case</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript includes some quite important values for the scientific community, in terms of seeing and assessing the extent to which the Hungarian method can solve the optimization problems that many face today due to big data.</li> <li>The title is in accordance with the topic stated in the manuscript</li> <li>Abstract needs some improvements: It would be better if the author harmonizes the problem (shows problem data), objectives, methods used, tools used, testing/comparison techniques with previous research (if any) to the final result that answers the problem.</li> <li>is appropriate.</li> <li>This manuscript is scientifically correct, the ideas expressed are correct. But needs some improvements</li> <li>The need for additional references regarding the use of the Hungarian method</li> </ol> <p>Additional suggestions and comments have been added to the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Already appropriate</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Matheus Supriyanto Rumetna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Victory University Sorong, Indonesia</b>