

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_113397
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of urine on Antimicrobial gradient and Disk diffusion susceptibility testing of Co-trimoxazole, Fosfomycin, Norfloxacin and Nitrofurantoin against urinary Escherichia coli.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes The manuscript will significantly contribute to knowledge</p> <p>The title of the manuscript is suitable however it may be remodified: instead of listing part of the antibiotics used in the study while leaving out others...the author can simply use the class of the antibiotics in the title and then list them in the methodology e.g ..on some bactericidal and bacteriostatic antibiotics....</p> <p>Abstract is good</p> <p>Yes, the substance is ok</p> <p>Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Older than 10 years reference should be removed</p> <p>Discussion is very scanty</p> <p>The author should discuss more on the results from discriptive statistics and the outcome inference And explore more for comparison in the medical literature</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Fair	
<p>Optional/General comments</p> <p>Improve more on discussion</p> <p>It is too scanty</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Idowu Najeem Adedamola
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria