

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_113582
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical characterization of Supercritical Fluid Extract (SFE) of Ailanthus excelsa and estimation of total phenols and flavonoids in SFE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Responses:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Natural product mining is the basis of all prototype discoveries. So this manuscript is important for scientific community. 2. Yes, though it is precise. 3. Yes 4. Some data and information is necessary, stated elsewhere in manuscript. 5. As in response-4, required data can make this manuscript scientifically competent. 7. This reference should be accomodated. Hepatoprotective activity of supercritical fluid extract of Ailanthus excelsa leaves on paracetamol induced Albino rats Roopa Bai RS, Sharanagouda Hiregoudar, Udaykumar Nidoni, Ramappa KT, Sushila Nadagoudar, Sushilendra and Krupanidhi . The Pharma Innovation Journal 2023; 12(12): 53-55; DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/tpi.2023.v12.i12a.24611 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Slight correction with red highlighted is suggested.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammed Aftab Uddin
Department, University & Country	University of Dhaka, Bangladesh