

Estimation of post-harvest storage losses in onion genotypes caused by physiological loss?

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted at Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur during Rabi season 2021-22 with the objective to study the storage losses in various varieties of onion and identify those varieties with a good storage life. The experimental material consisted of 15 onion genotypes from different locations in India. Observations on physiological loss in weight, rotting and sprouting percentage were recorded at fortnight level upto 90 days. Variety and storage time had significant effect on weight loss, sprouting and rotting. Overall, during the three months of storage period, minimum physiological loss in weight was observed in LC-1 (5.80%) which was statistically at par with LC-2 (6.05%), Patna Red (6.20%), Bhima Shakti (6.21%), Agrifound Light Red (6.54%) and NHRDF local (6.83%). The minimum rotting was observed in LC-1 (13.32%) which was statistically at par with LC-2 (14.73%). Sprouting was not observed throughout the storage period in any of the genotypes. LC-1, LC-2, Patna Red and Bhima Shakti had good storage period as compared to other genotypes. These genotypes can be explored in the breeding programmes for production of high yielding varieties with good storage potential.

Comment [ZD1]: How did the physiological loss were measured?

Keywords:

Onion, physiological loss in weight, rotting

INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is an underground vegetable of the family Amaryllidaceae, having chromosome number $2n = 2x = 16$. It is a widely cultivated vegetable crop in many regions of the world, both for fresh market consumption and for processing. It is known as the "Queen of Kitchen" as it is a commonly used by people all over the world. Onion bulbs are used for cooking, salad, and culinary purposes, or in preserved forms. Allyl propyl disulphide, a sulphur compound is responsible for its smell and pungency. It has the most important properties of anticancer, antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-diabetic and anti-asthmatic (Ashwini and Sathish Kumar, 2014). Onion bulbs are grown in a range of climates, with mild climates being the most suitable. However, extreme climatic conditions such as heat, cold, and rain are not conducive to the growth of onion. India is the second largest onion growing country in the world. India produced 26.64 million tonnes of onion bulbs from 1.62 million hectare area in the year 2021-2022. The onion production in India is divided into three crop seasons: Kharif (October to December), late Kharif (January to March) and Rabi (April to May). Stored Rabi onion is used for domestic and export markets from June to October, making it essential for regular supply. Although onion is less perishable than other vegetables, postharvest losses are inevitable, with some estimates suggesting that 40-50% of the production is never available to the consumer lost. During storage conditions of onion bulbs, various abnormalities take place, which ultimately affects the quality of produce. The predominant fungal pathogens associated with the storage diseases in onions include *Aspergillus* spp., *Penicillium* spp. and *Fusarium* spp. (Velez et al., 2004; Raju and Nail, 2006). About 30-50% of post-harvest losses are reported during short-term storage and sprouting and bulb rotting are the major causes of losses (Sabaragamuwa, 2011). e.g. The total storage losses are comprised of physiological loss in weight (PLW) e.g., moisture loss and shrinkage (30-40%), rotting (20-30%) and sprouting (20-40%) (Anonymous, 2011b). In order to reduce storage losses, it is essential to select a variety with a longer storage life. Therefore, this experiment was conducted to assess-examine the storage losses in various varieties of

Comment [ZD2]: It is also good if the author incorporates some references indicating varietal differences in post harvest losses.

onion and identify those varieties with a good storage life. All management practices will only be effective in reducing the losses if the chosen variety has a high storage life.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifteen onion genotypes were grown where ? during the Rabi season of 2021-2022 with the recommended package and practices. After harvesting, the bulbs were cured for 3 days in the field and a week under shade. Onions in wooden baskets with three replications was stored at room temperature in bottom and top ventilated storage house. The mean monthly temperature and relative humidity during storage period is given in Table 1. When was the data collected for each parameter? This should be clearly stated. Was the data recored after one week under shade? A month?,...etc. When was the initial weight and final weight measured? The reason why the data was recorded at the exact time should be stated.

Data collected: Observations on physiological loss in weight, rotting and sprouting percentage were recorded at fortnight interval upto 90 days.

1. Physiological loss in weight (%) = (Initial weight-Final weight) x 100/ Initial weight
 2. Rotting (%) = (Number of bulbs rotted till the date of recording × 100)/ Initial number of bulbs stored
 3. Sprouting (%) = (Number of bulbs sprouted till the date of recording × 100)/ Initial number of bulbs stored
- 3.—The author is suggested to include appropriate methodologies and list of onion genotypes used in the experiment.

Procedures of data analysis?

General comment: The methodology is not well indicated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Table 1 and 2 must have been described.

Bulb storage quality is an important parameter, which ultimately decides the demand and premium price of onions in the market. The characteristics like percent of sprouting bulbs, percent of rotten bulbs and total loss in weight decide the storage quality of bulbs. Variety and storage time had significant effect on weight loss, sprouting and rotting. The physiological loss in weight(%) and rotting (%) during storage upto 90 days has been shown in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively. During first thirty days of storage, PunaPhursungi (2.26%) and LC-1 (2.29%) had shown the lowest physiological loss in weight compared to other varieties. However, White Manik Moti (32.67%) and Red Galaxy (25.67%) genotypes exhibited highest rotting percentage. Therefore, these genotypes would not be suitable for long term storage and should be marketed as early as possible. Physiological weight loss was significantly lower in LC-1 (1.83%) followed by PunaPhursungi (1.93%) on two months of storage. Significantly high rotting loss was observed in Agrifound Dark Red (44.19%) and White Manik Moti (42.98%). After three months of storage, LC-2 and Bhima Shakti exhibited minimal physiological loss in weight, i.e. 11.53% and 12.65%, respectively, which implied that these genotypes were fit for long term storage. Compared to other genotypes, highest rotting losses were recorded in Nasik (54.22%) and N-53 (50.44) genotypes.

Analysis of variance indicated that all the genotypes under study were highly significant for physiological loss in weight and rotting (Table 2). Overall during the three months of storage period, as shown in Table 5, the maximum physiological loss in weight was observed in White Manik Moti (20.45 %) followed by Agrifound Dark Red (18.60%)

Comment [ZD3]: Methodology is shallow

Comment [ZD4]: The author should include the place where the experiment was conducted. The agroecology, altitudes and temperature of the site, at how many sites?, not clear.

Comment [ZD5]: This should be clearly described. The planting method, design and the management practices has to be indicated.

Comment [ZD6]: The size?

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

and Nasik (17.16%). Minimum physiological loss in weight was observed in LC-1 (5.80%) which was statistically at par with LC-2 (6.05%), Patna Red (6.20 %), Bhima Shakti (6.21 %), Agrifound Light Red (6.54 %) and NHRDF local (6.83%), which may be attributed to higher TSS in these genotypes. The maximum rotting was observed in White Manik Moti (39.26 %) followed by Agrifound Dark Red (37.26 %) and Nasik (35.12 %). This implicated that these genotypes were prone to storage diseases like *Aspergillus* rot and Basal rot. Minimum rotting was observed in LC-1 (13.32 %) which was statistically at par with LC-2 (14.73 %), which might be attributed to high TSS and dry matter content along with greater pungency in the bulbs. Sprouting was not observed throughout the storage period in any of the genotypes. Earlier studies also reported a significant difference with respect to storage loss in weight among the different onion genotypes by Shanmugasundaram (1999), Shanmugasundaram (2000), Shanmugasundaram (2003), Trivedi and Dhumal (2010).

Table 1 and 2 must have been described before Table 3. Each result in Tables should be presented in chronological order

It is also good if the author relates the results with the temperature, humidity and rainfall data during the storage period.

The discussion is shallow.

CONCLUSION

LC-1, LC-2, Patna Red and Bhima Shakti had good-longer shelf life or storage as compared to other genotypes. These genotypes may be considered for long-term storage of onion and for minimising the post-harvest storage losses. These genotypes can also be explored in the breeding programmes for production of high yielding varieties with good storage potential and resistance to storage disorders.

References

- Anonymous. Directorate of Horticulture, Orissa, Bhubaneswar, Project proposal on "onion storage structure" under the scheme RKVY/NADP. 2011b.
- Ashwini M & Sathishkumar (2014) Onion (*Allium cepa*)—Ethnomedicinal and therapeutic properties Handbook of Medicinal Plants and their Bioactive Compounds. 2014: 27-34 ISBN:978-81-308-0548-1.
- Raju K, Nail MK. Effect of pre-harvest spray of fungicides and botanicals on storage diseases of onion. Indian Phytopathology, 2006. 59 (2): 133-141
- Sabaragamuwa RS, Dharmasena DA, Mannaperuma J. Optimization of environmental parameters for short-term storage of big onions and evaluation of the feasibility of controlled environmental storage. Tropical Agricultural Research, 2011. 22 (4): 356 – 366.
- Shanmugasundaram S. Development of onions with good storability. AVRDC report 2003, p. 3-5.
- Shanmugasundaram S. Effect of bulb size and storage conditions on storability of onions. AVRDC report. 1999, p. 25.
- Shanmugasundaram S. Storage loss and disease incidence of 12 onion cultivars in ambient storage room 1 (SR1) and storage room 2 (SR2) after 15 weeks of storage, AVRDC report. 2000, p. 26-27.
- Trivedi AP, Dhumal KN. Variability and correlation studies on bulb yield, morphological and storage characters in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences. 2010;18:1-4.
- Velez L, Rivera LI, Rodriguez RD, Cabrera I. Fungi associated with onion (*Allium cepa* L.) fields in southern Puerto Rico. Journal of Agriculture of the University of Puerto Rico.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic, English (United States)

2004.

Table- 1: Meteorological data during storage period

Month (2021-22)	Maximum temperature	Minimum temperature	Relative humidity		Rainfall (mm)
			7.00 AM	2.00 PM	
May	35.4	23.5	82.3	48.9	68.6
June	35.8	25.3	84.9	55.3	161.0
July	36.0	26.1	85.0	58.7	42.6
August	33.7	25.9	87.2	63.7	77.0

Formatted Table

Table 2:- Analysis of variance for storage parameters under study

Mean sum of squares		
Characters	Genotypes	Error
	df=14	df=30
Physiological loss in weight (%)	79.1508**	0.3648
Rotting (%)	208.878**	1.87834
<u>Sprouting (%)?</u>		

Table 3:- Physiological loss in weight (%) during storage upto 90 days

Physiological loss in weight (%)						
	15 days	30 days	45 days	60 days	75 days	90 days
NHRDF local	2.71	2.79	4.37	3.01	11.16	16.95
N-53	3.87	4.04	6.56	4.58	19.38	28.70
Nasik	5.44	5.76	8.42	6.70	32.31	44.33
LC-1	2.24	2.29	1.51	1.83	14.07	12.89
Red Galaxy	4.77	5.01	13.58	6.11	30.93	36.12
Phule Samarth	4.02	4.21	1.32	3.44	17.33	34.87
Bhima Kiran	3.98	4.18	4.46	4.57	12.55	22.58
LC-2	2.80	2.89	1.91	3.04	14.14	11.53
AFDR	4.38	7.28	14.30	17.32	31.27	37.02
AFLR	3.26	3.37	1.58	2.41	10.99	17.63
Patna Red	2.97	3.06	5.62	3.35	6.94	15.24
PunaPhursungi	2.20	2.26	1.27	1.93	12.92	22.86
White Manik Moti	7.02	10.55	18.14	24.88	27.33	34.76

Bhima Shakti	2.57	2.44	5.51	5.86	8.25	12.65
Bhima Super	2.15	4.32	8.86	15.84	21.22	27.31

Table 4:- Rotting losses (%) during storage upto 90 days

	Rotting percentage					
	15 days	30 days	45 days	60 days	75 days	90 days
NHRDF local	0.18	0.00	30.00	34.45	34.21	27.14
N-53	0.00	0.32	30.96	39.69	42.61	50.44
Nasik	0.00	21.57	37.33	42.23	55.36	54.22
LC-1	0.00	5.79	5.65	22.09	28.12	18.25
Red Galaxy	17.69	25.67	32.57	40.66	37.56	45.63
Phule Samarth	10.04	20.07	23.16	30.44	35.75	39.12
Bhima Kiran	9.04	18.26	23.16	26.52	34.62	45.09
LC-2	0.00	6.79	9.70	21.57	29.27	21.07
AFDR	16.25	20.60	35.53	44.19	51.36	55.63
AFLR	3.22	10.49	15.74	25.90	30.40	31.94
Patna Red	3.22	10.49	15.74	20.90	26.63	28.96
PunaPhursungi	10.04	19.09	20.85	27.21	29.65	30.15
White Manik Moti	21.39	32.67	39.98	42.98	45.93	52.63
Bhima Shakti	2.37	8.56	13.26	25.65	30.98	28.52
Bhima Super	16.22	22.57	32.98	39.56	38.79	44.71

Table 5: - Mean performance of 15 genotypes for storage parameters

	PLW		Rotting		Total losses
	(%)	(Trans.)	(%)	(Trans.)	(%)
NHRDF local	6.83	15.14	21.00	27.26	27.83
N-53	11.19	19.53	27.34	31.51	38.53
Nasik	17.16	24.46	35.12	36.33	52.28
LC-1	5.80	13.93	13.32	21.40	19.12
Red Galaxy	16.09	23.63	33.30	35.23	49.39
Phule Samarth	10.87	19.24	26.43	30.92	37.30
Bhima Kiran	8.72	17.17	26.12	30.72	34.84
LC-2	6.05	14.23	14.73	22.55	20.78
AFDR	18.60	25.53	37.26	37.60	55.86
AFLR	6.54	14.81	19.61	26.27	26.15
Patna Red	6.20	14.41	17.66	24.83	23.86
PunaPhursungi	7.24	15.60	22.83	28.52	30.07
White Manik Moti	20.45	26.87	39.26	38.78	59.71
Bhima Shakti	6.21	14.42	18.22	25.26	24.43
Bhima Super	13.28	21.36	32.47	34.72	45.75

Mean	10.75	18.69	25.64	30.13	
C.V.	5.62	2.76	5.34	2.92	
S.E.	0.35	0.30	0.79	0.51	
C.D. 1%	1.36	0.86	3.08	1.47	

PLW=, Trans.=

UNDER PEER REVIEW