

Estimation of post-harvest storage losses in onion genotypes

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted at Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur during Rabi season 2021-22 with the objective to study the storage losses in various varieties of onion and identify those varieties with a good storage life. The experimental material consisted of 15 onion genotypes from different locations in India. Observations on physiological loss in weight, rotting and sprouting percentage were recorded at fortnight level upto 90 days. Variety and storage time had significant effect on weight loss, sprouting and rotting. Overall, during the three months of storage period, minimum physiological loss in weight was observed in LC-1 (5.80%) which was statistically at par with LC-2 (6.05%), Patna Red (6.20%), Bhima Shakti (6.21%), Agrifound Light Red (6.54%) and NHRDF local (6.83%). The minimum rotting was observed in LC-1 (13.32%) which was statistically at par with LC-2 (14.73%). Sprouting was not observed throughout the storage period in any of the genotypes. LC-1, LC-2, Patna Red and Bhima Shakti had good storage as compared to other genotypes. These genotypes can be explored in the breeding programmes for production of high yielding varieties with good storage potential.

Keywords

Onion, physiological loss in weight, rotting

INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is an underground vegetable of the family Amaryllidaceae, having chromosome number $2n = 2x = 16$. It is a widely cultivated vegetable crop in many regions of the world, both for fresh market consumption and for processing. It is known as the "Queen of Kitchen" as it is commonly used by people all over the world. Onion bulbs are used for cooking, salad, and culinary purposes, or in preserved forms. Allyl propyl disulphide, a sulphur compound is responsible for its smell and pungency. It has the most important properties of anticancer, antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-diabetic and anti-asthmatic (Ashwini and Sathish Kumar, 2014). Onion bulbs are grown in a range of climates, with mild climates being the most suitable. However, extreme climatic conditions such as heat, cold, and rain are not conducive to the growth of onion. India is the second largest onion growing country in the world. India produced 26.64 million tonnes of onion bulbs from 1.62 million hectare area in the year 2021-22. The onion production in India is divided into three crop seasons: Kharif (October to December), late Kharif (January to March) and Rabi (April to May). Stored Rabi onion is used for domestic and export markets from June to October, making it essential for regular supply. Although onion is less perishable than other vegetables, postharvest losses are inevitable, with some estimates suggesting that 40-50% of the production is never available to the consumer. During storage conditions of onion bulbs, various abnormalities take place, which ultimately affects the quality of produce. The predominant fungal pathogens associated with the storage diseases in onions include *Aspergillus* spp., *Penicillium* spp. and *Fusarium* spp. (Velez et al., 2004; Raju and Nail, 2006). About 30-50% of post-harvest losses are reported during short-term storage and sprouting and bulb rotting are the major causes of losses (Sabaragamuwa, 2011). The total storage losses are comprised of physiological loss in weight (PLW) e.g., moisture loss and shrinkage (30-40%), rotting (20-30%) and sprouting (20-40%) (Anonymous, 2011b). In order to reduce storage losses, it is essential to select a variety with a longer storage life. Therefore, this experiment was conducted to assess the storage losses in various varieties of onion and

Comment [11]: More references on the topic are needed. The information is good and can be supported with current references

Comment [12]: References

Comment [13]: References

Comment [14]: incomplete idea

Comment [15]: References

Comment [16]: References

Comment [17]: References

Comment [18]: ???

identify those varieties with a good storage life. All management practices will only be effective in reducing the losses if the chosen variety has a high storage life.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifteen onion genotypes were grown during the Rabi season of 2021-22 with the recommended package and practices. After harvesting, the bulbs were cured for 3 days in the field and a week under shade. Onions in wooden baskets with three replications was stored at room temperature in bottom and top ventilated storage house. The mean monthly temperature and relative humidity during storage period is given in Table 1. Observations on physiological loss in weight, rotting and sprouting percentage were recorded at fortnight interval upto 90 days.

Comment [19]: What is the origin? Where or how were these genotypes obtained? Why were those genotypes used?

Comment [110]: How many individuals were used in total for each genotype?

1. Physiological loss in weight (%) = $(\text{Initial weight} - \text{Final weight}) \times 100 / \text{Initial weight}$
2. Rotting (%) = $(\text{Number of bulbs rotted till the date of recording} \times 100) / \text{Initial number of bulbs stored}$
3. Sprouting (%) = $(\text{Number of bulbs sprouted till the date of recording} \times 100) / \text{Initial number of bulbs stored}$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bulb storage quality is an important parameter, which ultimately decides the demand and premium price of onions in the market. The characteristics like percent of sprouting bulbs, percent of rotten bulbs and total loss in weight decide the storage quality of bulbs. Variety and storage time had significant effect on weight loss, sprouting and rotting. The physiological loss in weight(%) and rotting (%) during storage upto 90 days has been shown in Table 3 and table 4 respectively. During first thirty days of storage, PunaPhursungi (2.26%) and LC-1 (2.29%) had shown the lowest physiological loss in weight compared to other varieties. However, White Manik Moti (32.67%) and Red Galaxy (25.67%) exhibited highest rotting percentage. Therefore, these genotypes would not be suitable for long term storage and should be marketed as early as possible. Physiological weight loss was significantly lower in LC-1 (1.83%) followed by PunaPhursungi (1.93%) on two months of storage. Significantly high rotting loss was observed in Agrifound Dark Red (44.19%) and White Manik Moti (42.98%). After three months of storage, LC-2 and Bhima Shakti exhibited minimal physiological loss in weight i.e. 11.53% and 12.65% respectively which implied that these genotypes were fit for long term storage. Compared to other genotypes, highest rotting losses were recorded in Nasik (54.22%) and N-53 (50.44) genotypes.

Analysis of variance indicated that all the genotypes under study were highly significant for physiological loss in weight and rotting (Table 2). Overall during the three months of storage period, as shown in Table 5, the maximum physiological loss in weight was observed in White Manik Moti (20.45 %) followed by Agrifound Dark Red (18.60%) and Nasik (17.16%). Minimum physiological loss in weight was observed in LC-1 (5.80%) which was statistically at par with LC-2 (6.05%), Patna Red (6.20 %), Bhima Shakti (6.21 %), Agrifound Light Red (6.54 %) and NHRDF local (6.83%), which may be attributed to higher TSS in these genotypes. The maximum rotting was observed in White Manik Moti (39.26 %) followed by Agrifound Dark Red (37.26 %) and Nasik (35.12 %). This implicated that these genotypes were prone to storage diseases like *Aspergillus* rot and Basal rot. Minimum rotting was observed in LC-1 (13.32 %) which was statistically at par with LC-2 (14.73 %), which might be attributed to high TSS and dry matter content along with greater pungency in the bulbs. Sprouting was not observed throughout the storage period in any of the genotypes. Earlier studies also reported a significant difference with respect to

storage loss in weight among the different onion genotypes by Shanmugasundaram (1999), Shanmugasundaram (2000), Shanmugasundaram (2003), Trivedi and Dhumal (2010).

CONCLUSION

LC-1, LC-2, Patna Red and Bhima Shakti had good storage as compared to other genotypes. These genotypes may be considered for long-term storage of onion and for minimising the post-harvest storage losses. These genotypes can also be explored in the breeding programmes for production of high yielding varieties with good storage potential and resistance to storage disorders.

References

- Anonymous. Directorate of Horticulture, Orissa, Bhubaneshwar, Project proposal on “onion storage structure” under the scheme RKVY/NADP. 2011b.
- Ashwini M & Sathishkumar (2014) Onion (*Allium cepa*)–Ethnomedicinal and therapeutic properties Handbook of Medicinal Plants and their Bioactive Compounds.2014: 27-34 ISBN:978-81-308-0548-1.
- Raju K, Nail MK. Effect of pre-harvest spray of fungicides and botanicals on storage diseases of onion. Indian Phytopathology,2006. 59 (2): 133-141
- Sabaragamuwa RS, Dharmasena DA, Mannaperuma J. Optimization of environmental parameters for short-term storage of big onions and evaluation of the feasibility of controlled environmental storage. Tropical Agricultural Research,2011. 22 (4): 356 – 366.
- Shanmugasundaram S. Development of onions with good storability. AVRDC report 2003, p. 3-5.
- Shanmugasundaram S. Effect of bulb size and storage conditions on storability of onions.AVRDC report.1999, p. 25.
- Shanmugasundaram S. Storage loss and disease incidence of 12 onion cultivars in ambientstorage room1 (SR1) and storage room2 (SR2) after 15 weeks of storage, AVRDC report.2000, p. 26-27.
- Trivedi AP, Dhumal KN. Variability and correlation studies on bulb yield, morphological and storage characters in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences. 2010;18:1-4.
- Velez L, Rivera LI, Rodriguez RD, Cabrera I. Fungi associated with onion (*Allium cepa* L.) fields in southern Puerto Rico. Journal of Agriculture of the University of Puerto Rico. 2004.

Comment [111]: The discussion is not observed, only in the final part, it is necessary to compare and discuss with other studies both because of the problem and in other crops and why it may be that there are genotypes that have less postharvest damage

Table- 1: Meteorological data during storage period

Month (2021-22)	Maximum temperature	Minimum temperature	Relative humidity		Rainfall (mm)
			7.00 AM	2.00 PM	
May	35.4	23.5	82.3	48.9	68.6
June	35.8	25.3	84.9	55.3	161.0
July	36.0	26.1	85.0	58.7	42.6

August	33.7	25.9	87.2	63.7	77.0
--------	------	------	------	------	------

Table 2:- Analysis of variance for storage parameters under study

Mean sum of squares		
Characters	Genotypes	Error
	df=14	df=30
Physiological loss in weight (%)	79.1508**	0.3648
Rotting (%)	208.878**	1.87834

Table 3:- Physiological loss in weight (%) during storage upto 90 days

Physiological loss in weight (%)						
	15 days	30 days	45 days	60 days	75 days	90 days
NHRDF local	2.71	2.79	4.37	3.01	11.16	16.95
N-53	3.87	4.04	6.56	4.58	19.38	28.70
Nasik	5.44	5.76	8.42	6.70	32.31	44.33
LC-1	2.24	2.29	1.51	1.83	14.07	12.89
Red Galaxy	4.77	5.01	13.58	6.11	30.93	36.12
Phule Samarth	4.02	4.21	1.32	3.44	17.33	34.87
Bhima Kiran	3.98	4.18	4.46	4.57	12.55	22.58
LC-2	2.80	2.89	1.91	3.04	14.14	11.53
AFDR	4.38	7.28	14.30	17.32	31.27	37.02
AFLR	3.26	3.37	1.58	2.41	10.99	17.63
Patna Red	2.97	3.06	5.62	3.35	6.94	15.24
PunaPhursungi	2.20	2.26	1.27	1.93	12.92	22.86
White Manik Moti	7.02	10.55	18.14	24.88	27.33	34.76
Bhima Shakti	2.57	2.44	5.51	5.86	8.25	12.65
Bhima Super	2.15	4.32	8.86	15.84	21.22	27.31

Comment [112]: This information can be better observed in graphs over time, so the accumulated damage could be observed. Statistical analyzes are missing

Table 4:- Rotting losses (%) during storage upto 90 days

Rotting percentage						
	15 days	30 days	45 days	60 days	75 days	90 days
NHRDF local	0.18	0.00	30.00	34.45	34.21	27.14
N-53	0.00	0.32	30.96	39.69	42.61	50.44
Nasik	0.00	21.57	37.33	42.23	55.36	54.22
LC-1	0.00	5.79	5.65	22.09	28.12	18.25
Red Galaxy	17.69	25.67	32.57	40.66	37.56	45.63
Phule Samarth	10.04	20.07	23.16	30.44	35.75	39.12
Bhima Kiran	9.04	18.26	23.16	26.52	34.62	45.09

Comment [113]: This information can be better observed in graphs over time, so the accumulated damage could be observed. Statistical analyzes are missing

LC-2	0.00	6.79	9.70	21.57	29.27	21.07
AFDR	16.25	20.60	35.53	44.19	51.36	55.63
AFLR	3.22	10.49	15.74	25.90	30.40	31.94
Patna Red	3.22	10.49	15.74	20.90	26.63	28.96
PunaPhursungi	10.04	19.09	20.85	27.21	29.65	30.15
White Manik Moti	21.39	32.67	39.98	42.98	45.93	52.63
Bhima Shakti	2.37	8.56	13.26	25.65	30.98	28.52
Bhima Super	16.22	22.57	32.98	39.56	38.79	44.71

Table 5: - Mean performance of 15 genotypes for storage parameters

Comment [114]: To compare and identify the genotype or genotypes with the least damage, statistical analyses are necessary and detailed in a graph.

	PLW		Rotting		Total losses
	(%)	(Trans.)	(%)	(Trans.)	(%)
NHRDF local	6.83	15.14	21.00	27.26	27.83
N-53	11.19	19.53	27.34	31.51	38.53
Nasik	17.16	24.46	35.12	36.33	52.28
LC-1	5.80	13.93	13.32	21.40	19.12
Red Galaxy	16.09	23.63	33.30	35.23	49.39
Phule Samarth	10.87	19.24	26.43	30.92	37.30
Bhima Kiran	8.72	17.17	26.12	30.72	34.84
LC-2	6.05	14.23	14.73	22.55	20.78
AFDR	18.60	25.53	37.26	37.60	55.86
AFLR	6.54	14.81	19.61	26.27	26.15
Patna Red	6.20	14.41	17.66	24.83	23.86
PunaPhursungi	7.24	15.60	22.83	28.52	30.07
White Manik Moti	20.45	26.87	39.26	38.78	59.71
Bhima Shakti	6.21	14.42	18.22	25.26	24.43
Bhima Super	13.28	21.36	32.47	34.72	45.75
Mean	10.75	18.69	25.64	30.13	
C.V.	5.62	2.76	5.34	2.92	
S.E.	0.35	0.30	0.79	0.51	
C.D. 1%	1.36	0.86	3.08	1.47	