

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_113535
Title of the Manuscript:	Anemia in Pregnancy: Implications for Maternal and Perinatal Outcomes
Type of the Article	scientific articles

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript created is very important to add to the latest studies in midwifery 2. Please be directed to the following title "The impact of anemia during pregnancy on mother and baby" 3. Abstract, please briefly explain the aim of the work, techniques and methods used, main findings with important data and conclusions. 4. Please complete each subsection clearly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Material dan methods - Results and discussion And customized according to the template General Guideline for Authors: (https://peerreviewcentral.com/page/general-guideline-for-authors) 5. The manuscript as a whole needs improvement so that it meets scientific manuscripts in terms of research methods and the results of the manuscript must be clear. 6. The references sufficient 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The quality of the article is suitable for scientific communication in English.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marta Imelda Br Sianturi
Department, University & Country	Audi Indonesia, Indonesia