

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_113535
Title of the Manuscript:	Anemia in Pregnancy: Implications for Maternal and Perinatal Outcomes
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses a significant public health concern – maternal anemia in pregnancy. The literature review synthesizes recent evidence on the implications of maternal anemia, emphasizing its global prevalence, etiologies, and associations with maternal mortality and morbidity. By presenting findings from a study in rural India that challenges conventional thinking on the relationship between anemia and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, the manuscript contributes novel perspectives that can stimulate further research and inform clinical practices. The comprehensive overview of the current state of knowledge on this topic makes the manuscript a valuable resource for researchers, healthcare professionals, and policymakers involved in maternal and perinatal health. 2. The title "Anemia in Pregnancy: Implications for Maternal and Perinatal Outcomes" is suitable as it accurately reflects the main focus and content of the manuscript. However, if you're looking for a slight modification, you might consider: "Maternal Anemia in Pregnancy: Recent Evidence and Impacts on Maternal and Perinatal Health" This alternative title maintains the essence of the original while emphasizing the recent evidence aspect and specifying the impacts on both maternal and perinatal health. 3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It effectively summarizes the key elements of the manuscript, including the global burden and etiologies of maternal anemia, associations between anemia severity and maternal outcomes, and recent findings from a study in rural India. The abstract provides a clear overview of the research, making it accessible to a wide audience and allowing readers to quickly grasp the main findings and implications of the study. 4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate. 5. The material in the extracts that have been made available suggests that the manuscript is accurate from a scientific standpoint. It bases its assertions and conclusions on current data and cites pertinent research. The addition of data from a rural India study lends scientific credence to the wider conversations on maternal anemia and its consequences. However, a thorough examination of the entire publication, including the methodology, data analysis, and references, would be necessary for a comprehensive assessment of the scientific accuracy. Peer review by subject matter experts, if accessible, would be crucial to verifying the quality and scientific rigor of the findings reported in the publication. 6. The list of references that is provided seems to have been carefully chosen; it includes a variety of international studies and reviews that advance our knowledge of maternal anemia and its consequences during pregnancy. The sources address a variety of subjects, such as the prevalence of anemia, worldwide changes in hemoglobin concentration, and recommendations for treating iron deficiency during pregnancy. The manuscript's emphasis on current evidence is further supported by the inclusion of recent studies and systematic reviews. Regarding possible additions, that would rely on the manuscript's particular focus and content. Nonetheless, given the significance of regional differences in anemia prevalence, it could be advantageous to incorporate reviews or research that particularly address anemia in the geographic areas covered in the publication. Additionally, if they are accessible, including current systematic reviews or meta-analyses 	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Based on the provided excerpts, the language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communication. Maternal anemia during pregnancy is a complex topic that is adequately communicated in this well-structured text using suitable scientific vocabulary. The work is easier to read overall because of the clear and succinct phrases. But reading the entire paper would be necessary for a thorough assessment. Throughout the entire document, it's critical to maintain scientific writing rules, clarity of speech, and consistency in language usage. If at all possible, getting input on language and clarity from coworkers or subject matter experts could yield new insights.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivan Oburu
Department, University & Country	University of Valencia, Spain