

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_111875
Title of the Manuscript:	Pregnancy outcome following previous miscarriage at Benghazi medical centre
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. This manuscript deals with a contemporary issue. All of us being gynaecologists come across multitude of such cases. This manuscript gives us an idea about the association of miscarriage with future obstetrical outcome. It can open new avenues of research for us and help in predicting the pregnancies which could take an unexpected course.</p> <p>Pregnancy outcome following previous miscarriage: A cross sectional study at Benghazi medical centre</p> <p>No. The results have not been summarised appropriately. The conclusion and recommendations need to be revised. No mention of keywords.</p> <p>The section of patients and methods needs major revision. The finer details by which the study was conducted, including inclusion criteria, IRB approval, informed consent, data collection method and instrument haven't been mentioned.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Most of the references are more than 5 years old. Only a few local references have been mentioned.</p> <p>There is no clarity about the study design. Is this a case series????</p> <p>Operational definitions haven't been mentioned.</p> <p>The headings for the tables haven't been appropriately worded.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No. there are a number of grammatical mistakes. The formation of sentences is not satisfactory.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rabia Wajid</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>King Edward Medical University, Pakistan</b>