

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_112895 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A survey of the current situation of expert academic discourse strategy ability of postgraduate students majoring in non-English majors in China |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>The title is too long.</p> <p>The abstract is not comprehensive. The abstract should include the necessary information such as the research design, methodology, instrument used, the number of respondents involved, analysis data and the main finding and conclusion/ recommendation. The points in the abstract also not properly arranged</p> <p>Some of the subtitle and the structure are not appropriate. Foe example: under the subtitle of procedure and result, there should not in the point forms. Besides that, the author also should not use 'overview' under the subtitle of 'reader engagement strategy' and 'claim making strategy'</p> <p>The references can be considered adequately sufficient but they are not recent.</p> <p>The section that need to be reviewed carefully by the authors are the discussion on methodology, data analysis and results. There is not explicit discussion on whether this is a quantitative or qualitative or mix method study. From the discussion, it looks like qualitative but there are quantitative analysis (table) in the result section.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Adequately suitable | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Low Suet Fin |
| Department, University & Country | International Languages Teacher Training Institute, Malaysia |