

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_113054
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Assessment and Distribution of Heavy Metals in Water and Sediments along Ibelebiri Axis of Kolo Creek, Ogbia Local Government Area, Niger Delta Region of Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The MS is relevant to the scientific community as the risks from HM's are very much around.</p> <p>The title is satisfactory.</p> <p>The Abstract needs some tweaking.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>More references are needed as noted on the MS.</p> <p>In the Abstract, full justification of the study is required. The section should be reported in past tense as the work has been concluded. No recommendation has been provided arising from the study. All key words must be in alphabetical order. Corrections in the Introduction have been pointed out on the MS. GPS points of the study area must be provided, along with GPS points for each station. The map is poor, a better one should be provided. The choice of stations has not been justified. References are needed for some procedures in the M/M section. No units of quantification have been provided for heavy metals. The study has no experimental design. Information on significant differences has not been provided with adjoining interpretations. Results in all the Tables should be reported using ranges for each station. More references are needed in the Discussion to back up the interpretation of results. DOI should be provided for the references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English is satisfactory although a lot of grammatical errors have been pointed out on the MS.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wangboje, Oiseoje Miichael
Department, University & Country	University of Benin, Nigeria