

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_110155
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening Chickpea Genotypes against Fusarium Wilt Disease under Greenhouse Conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Research Article "Screening Chickpea Genotypes against Fusarium Wilt Disease under Greenhouse Conditions" is an Important research article to find resistant genotype in green house condition as This disease can result in substantial yield losses, potentially up to 90%, which is looking as a serious threat so it is necessary and need of time to screen and find resistant genotypes.</li> <li>2. Title is suitable I suggest conditions may be replaced with condition because single research experiment at greenhouse was conducted.</li> <li>3. Abstract is comprehensive but need some correction which I have suggested in the review article</li> <li>4. Subsections of the articles are appropriated and still need minor corrections which I have suggested in the review article</li> <li>5. Manuscript is scientifically correct need minor revision</li> <li>6. Suggestion have been mentioned in the review article in discussion new references should be incorporated</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Research article need minor revision which I have suggested in the review article	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Waris</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Balochistan Agriculture College, Pakistan</b>