

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_113498
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Governance in Implementing Climate Change Adaptation Strategies in the Congo Basin Case of Cameroon and the Democratic Republic of Congo
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the scholarly discourse, the author delved into topics of worldwide significance within the purview of their article. Specifically addressing the imperative battle against climate change, comprehensive analysis was provided regarding the ongoing initiatives of nations pledged to the international community. Furthermore, critical attention was directed towards elucidating deficiencies and causative factors influencing the efficacy of collaborative endeavors among both domestic and international entities and communities. 2. I propose, "Status Assessment of Climate Change Adaptation Strategies in the Congo Basin of Cameroon and the Democratic Republic of the Congo" 3. The summary of the article is comprehensive. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. The manuscript needs some corrections, both lexical and grammatical. 6. References used are sufficient. Recent printed references should be preferred. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language style of the article is good. The article is printable. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The purpose of the article is not clearly explained. The problems of these two republics related to climate change have been mentioned, but the purpose and solutions are not explained. 2. General comparisons are made in the article: although the quality of the work of opposition organizations is evaluated, examples of their work are not given. What were the results of the work done in the area? There are only general comments. 3. Images do not appear in the article. I would like to inform that in the study of climate changes with GIS technology: Overlay, Interpolation, "same gradation comparison" methods can be used. 4. Information about which of the coordinated initiatives related to climate change are effective and which initiatives are ineffective should be added to the article. 5. Any figures, graphs, histograms or maps showing the results of the initiatives should be given, and the initiatives shown between organizations and states should be evaluated. 6. Strengthening of relations between organizations and communities is recommended, these are general statements. The author must provide a concrete solution. The global crisis cannot be solved by individual approaches. What activities are meant by individual approach? 7. It is better to draw up a simple schematic model according to the author's recommendations in the coordinated management of climate changes. 8. Throughout the article, we repeat the problem of transparency, bureaucratic hassle, gender, but there is no precise information about the state of these problems, no suggestion about the steps to be taken. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jamal Surkhay Huseynov
Department, University & Country	ANAS Institute of Geography, Azerbaijan