

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_112860</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>STUDIES ON SOIL FERTILITY VARIATIONS, DISTRIBUTION OF SOIL HEALTH REPORT AND KEY SUGGESTIONS FOR PROBLEMS IDENTIFIED FOR IMPROVEMENT OF LIVELIHOODS IN ADOPTED VILLAGE NARSIMHULAPALLE <b>Which state?</b></b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Fairly okay although not fully scientific</p> <p>Too long. Needs to be shortened and add the state</p> <p>Fairly comprehensive but lacks some details</p> <p>Yes but not fully complete</p> <p>No. Very little science is available</p> <p>No. References are very few, and outdated</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes. However, grammar needs improvement</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Introduction- Poorly written and lacks recent information. A lot of review is needed with recent studies</p> <p>Materials and methods- Study site description is missing and should be detailed. Indicate clearly how household were selected and also how soils were sampled</p> <p>Discussions- are missing altogether. Major review is needed with more recent works.</p> <p>Conclusion: Should be placed before recommendations and should also be rewritten afresh.</p> <p>References: Very old, few and outdated</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shadrack Kinyua Inoti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kenya</b>