

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_113705</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Heat and Associated Health Risks in Delhi</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. It provided crucial information on one of the impacts of climate change in an Asian megacity. It could aid policy makers take necessary action, and can also serve as a foundation for more studies on the issue.</p> <p>It is suitable. However, it has been slightly modified.  <b>"Analysis of Heat and Associated Health Risks in Delhi, India"</b></p> <p>Not really. The abstract should be written in one paragraph and single line spacing. Again, methodology and major research findings were not included.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. However, the section was not written according to the format required. suggestions have been made on the article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Techniques for data analysis and methods of data presentation (e.g. scatter plots, e.t.c.) were missing in methodology section. Also missing is the <i>P</i>-value threshold used for the correlation and why it was chosen for this study.</p> <p>Font and font size are not in accordance with the format required. However, suggestions have been made on the article.</p> <p>Considering the observations made can significantly improve the quality of the article.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rufai Abdulmajid</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Isa Kaita College of Education, Nigeria</b>